G. R. S. 59]

GPB—15,000—20-12-13

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

191 .

(Separate Revenue)

File No. 35 of 1893-94.

(18)

Serial Nos. 1-24.

Papers Filed.

Month November 1894.

SUBJECT.

Claims of Inamdars to Toddy Revenue from the Tumkur District.

PREVIOUS REFERENCES.	LATER REFERENCES.
Letter 5 of 1880-81 " 9 " 1888-89	Correct Received

Excise Commissioner's Office

No. 2684 / 288 (Encl 3 to Ltr. dtd) Bangalore, 22nd / 27 January 1894.

From

The Excise Commissioner

in Mysore

To

The Chief Secretary to the Dewan

of Mysore.

Sir,

In forwarding herewith, copy of dockets Nos. 985, dated 24th December 1893 1004 dated 5th January 1894, and 1062 dated 18th January 1894, together with copies of the statements therewith received and the original Kanarese record showing the result of enquiry into the claim to Toddy Revenue preferred by the Inamdars of Gollahalli and Borasandra villages in the Sira taluk, I have the honor to state that the Deputy Commissioner recommends the following amounts to be paid to the Inamdars.

Gollahally —

3

	For 1892-93	For 1893-94
	R – a – p	R – a – p
Gollahally	165 – 12 – 4	242 – 4 – 4
Borasundra	357 – 4 – 4	165 – 12 – 4

These are the amounts of the highest bids obtained at the sales of the respective years. It appears from the statement A that for both the villages the Inamdars got only Rs 60-12-4 per annum during the 5 years from 1886-87 to 1890-91. Instead of paying the Inamdars the amounts realized in each year, I would allot Rs 100 for Gollahalli and Rs 120 for Borasundra being the averages of the amounts for 5 years, to be paid permanently every year, free of charges for proportionate cost of establishments.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient Servant,

L Ricketts

Excise Commissioner

in Mysore

N.S.

4

Draft for Govt.

SECTION Sep Revenue
FILE No. 35 of 1893-94
SERIAL No. 2

The Excise Comr in Mysore

Dy 16/19 Jany/94

No 13927/R.7.166-92 Rs: 347 fi of G.P.

His letter No 2684/288 Dy 22nd Jany/94 submitting copy of Communications from the Dy Comr Bankur Distt, regarding the claim to Toddy Revenue preferred by the Inamdars of Gollahalli & Bora-Landra Villages in the Sira Taluk.

In returning the original papers received with the above, has the honor to observe that the Dy Comr has not recorded a decision & distinct finding as ordered in Govt Proc No 443-57/269 Dy 11th July/93.

5

The Excise Comrs attention
is again invited to this
office nos 115/29 & 115/30 D
RF 166-92 RF 166-92
5th Jany 94, and he is ~~further~~
requested to see that the
instructions therein contained
are attended to in each case by the
Dy Comrs before submission
of the proceedings to Govt for orders.

S O

4 Jan 94

for Chief Secy

WB

6 Transfer

2750

18 AUG 9

R530

DEWAN OF

Gen. Serial No. 30.]

1894

Private - Hobbully explain with Trans. file

From

The Excise Commissioner in Mysore

To

The Chief Secretary to the Dewan of Mysore.

SECTN Sep Rev

FILE N 35 of 1893-94

B

Dated 13th

Despatched 17th August 1894.

Received

Enclosures No. 1 file

General No. 839

No. 71

In reply to

SUBJECT.

Forwards with opinion the record of enquiry of the Deputy Commissioner Tumkur District as to whether the godidars of Yelchigere, Tumkur taluk, have a right to Toddy Revenue.

Rev 1878/94

References (to be entered only in the Dewan's Office.)

26/3 Record sent back for re-investigation with reference to Govt. Proc No. 2974-83 & 2/8/01 R.T. 166-92

KS

No. 839 (Extl)

Excise Commissioner's office

Bangalore, 13th August 1894

From

The Excise Commissioner

in Mysore

To

The Chief Secretary to the Dewan

of Mysore

Sir,

In forwarding herewith, the record of enquiry of the Deputy Commissioner Tumkur District, as to whether the godidars of Yelchigere in the Tumkur taluk have a right to Toddy Revenue I have the honor to state that it is clear that the godidars are not entitled to Excise Revenue from Toddy, i.e duty or licence fee on Toddy, but that they are entitled to the rent of the date trees in the village, utilized by Government Farmers as per particulars below:—

	Rs- a- P.
$\frac{1}{3}$rd of Jentak including local cess for 12 months of 1892-93, from 1st April 1892 to 31st March 1893 (as per sales)	1- 6- 8
$\frac{1}{3}$rd of the pentak including local cess for 15 months of 1893-94 from 1st April 1893 to 30th June 1894 (as per sales)	1- 12- 4.

Deducting Rs. -"- 3 and Rs. -"-"-4 for 1892-93 and 1893-94 respectively as per under-mentioned details for the proportionate cost of establishment, the differences payable to the Jodidars are Rs 1-6-5 and Rs 1-12-0 for 1892-93 and 1893-94 respectively.

	Toddy Revenue of the Punkur Taluq	Cost of Establish-ment of the Punkur Taluq	Toddy Revenue of the Inam village of Yelchigere	Proportionate cost debitable to the Inam village of Yelchigere.
1892-93	Rs 38779-2-"	372-"-"	1-6-8	"-"-3
1893-94 15 months	Rs 36677-8-"	465-"-"	1-12-4	"-"-4.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient Servant

[signature]

H. S. Excise Commissioner

(General Serial No. 30.)

3208.
1894. T. SE. 94
R 841

SECTION Septer
FILE No. 35 of 1893-94
SERIAL No. To 4

The Excise Commissioner in Mysore.

Submitted for orders

7/9

Respectfully Regd.

When a question is raised as to the levy of Excise duty, collection is to remain in abeyance - If any objection is entertained in regard thereto, the matter should be submitted with a view to have the establishment of the Excise Inspector

P.A.

The Chief Secretary to the Dewan of Mysore.

Dated 4th
Despatched 7th September 1894
Received

Enclosures No. 2 + 1 file

General No. 1124
No. 110

~~In reply to~~

SUBJECT.

Forwards with opinion copy of communication from the Deputy Commissioner Tumkur District, together with the record of enquiry into the claim to Toddy Revenue preferred by the Kayangoothadar of Srinivaspur, Maddagiri Taluk.

References (to be entered only in the Dewan's Office).

Rev. Branch
7-9-94

Record sent back for investigation in accordance with Govt. Proc. No: 2024-83 R. dt 16622 & 2/8/01

Mysore Govt. C. Press, Bangalore.—25-1-93.—200.

9

(General Serial No. 30.)

3208.

1894. R 841

SECTION Sep Rev

FILE No. 354 / 1893-94

SERIAL No. To 4

From

The Excise Commissioner
in Mysore.

To

The Chief Secretary to the Dewan
of Mysore.

Submitted for orders

K.S.
7/9

Respectfully Reported.

When a question such as has been
under reference by Estabt
and Collection to it must be
decided by the Government - It may also
be mentioned that Rules
have been framed under Section 14 of
the Act for the guidance of
Excise Officers in such cases

Dated 4th
Despatched 7th } September 1894
Received

Enclosures No. (2 + 1 file)

General No. 1124
No. 110

~~In reply to~~

SUBJECT.

Forwards with opinion copy of communication from the Deputy Commissioner Tumkur District, together with the record of enquiry into the claim to Toddy Revenue preferred by the Kayangoothadar of Srinivaspur, Maddagiri Taluk.

References (to be entered only in the Dewan's Office).

Rev Branch
7-9-94

Record sent back for investigation in acc with Govt Proc No: 2074-83 R 7 1662 dt 2/8/94

Mysore Govt. C. Press, Bangalore.—25-1-93.—200.

No 1124 (Encl 2 to 1110 file)

Excise Commissioner's office

Bangalore, 4th September 1894.

From

The Excise Commissioner

in Mysore

To

The Chief Secretary to the Dewan

of Mysore

Sir,

In forwarding herewith, copy of docket No 428, dated 31st ultimo / 1st instant from the Deputy Commissioner, Tumkur District, together with the record of enquiry into the claim to Toddy Revenue preferred by the Kayangoothadar of Srinivaspura in the Maddigiri taluk, I have the honor to state that it is clear that the Kayangoothadar is not entitled to Excise Revenue from Toddy, i.e. duty or license fee on Toddy, but that under the principle explained in my letter No 3735/369, dated 28th April 1894, he is entitled to the rent of the date trees in the village utilized

utilized by Government, as per particulars below:-

1/3 of the rental including local Cess for 1893-94, i.e. for 15 months from 1st April 1893 to 30th June 1894, viz Rs 1-15-0	Rs	as p
	"	— 10 - 7

Deducting from the above amount Rs 0-0-2 for the proportionate cost of establishment, as per details below, the difference payable to the Kayamgoothadar is Rs 0-10-5.

Toddy Revenue of Maddagiri Taluq for 15 months of 1893-94		Cost of Toddy Establishment of the Maddagiri Taluq for 15 months of 1893-94		Toddy Revenue of the Inam village of Srinivasapur for 15 months of 1893-94.		Proportionate cost of Establishment debitable to the Inam village of Srinivasapur
Rs	as p	Rs	as p	Rs	as p	Rs as p.
70247 - " — 3	:	1005 — " — "	::	0 — 10 - 7	:	0 — 0 — 2

2. It will be seen from the above that, although the proportionate cost of only the taluk Toddy establishment is deducted from the Revenue, yet the Kayamgoothadar gets very little revenue. I am therefore of opinion that

that the proportionate cost of all the establishments referred to in para 2 of Government order No. 3163/2401, dated 22nd/24th August 1894, may not be deducted from the Toddy Revenue of the village

3. A copy of instructions (No. 1037/39, dated 28/30th August 1894) issued lately as to how the claims of the various classes of alienated villages should be settled, is herewith sent for the information of Government.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient Servant

S Mukerji

Excise Commissioner

in Mysore

1894 13

From,

The Deputy Commissioner

Tumkur District

To.

The Excise Commissioner

in Mysore.

Enclo to Serial No 4

Dated 31st August 1894.

Despatched 1st September 1894.

No. 428.

Enclos: 3.

Government Proceedings

No. 443-5-1 / R.69 of the 11th July 1893.

Has the honor to forward herewith a copy of the decision and the record of enquiry relative to the claim of the holder of Kayamgutta Sreenivasapura village in the Maddagiri Talook to the Toddy Revenue thereof.

(Sd) B. K. Venkatavardiengar

Deputy Commissioner.

"Copy"

Excise Commissioner

in Mysore

From

The Excise Commissioner
in Mysore.

To
Ex 6 Serial
No. 4. The Deputy Commissioner
Tumkur District

Dated 28th/30 August. 1894.

D.O. 1037/39

The Deputy Commissioner's D.O. 3443,
dated 18th instant.

In reply has the honor to state that the manufacture of toddy under Section 5 of the Excise Act is distinct from its sale under Section 12 of the said Act. If a Shop exists in any alienated village entitled to Excise Revenue by Summud, the whole of the purchase money or rental of such Shop minus proportionate costs of Government establishments.

is

is in the undersigned's opinion, rightly payable to the Inamdar If a grove also exists in such village, the whole of the purchase money or rental thereof, minus the proportionate costs of the said establishments is also payable to him. But in the case of alienated villages not entitled to Excise Revenue by sunnud, the whole of the purchase money or rental of the shops existing therein vests in Government, the Inamdars being entitled only to the mohaturfa tax on the shops; and in regard to the groves existing in such villages, $\frac{2}{3}^{rds}$ of the purchase money thereof or rental are calculated as the Government share on account of toddy, $\frac{1}{3}^{rd}$ thereof minus proportionate costs of establishments, being treated as the Inamdar's share

on

on account of their proprietory right to the trees.

/Signed/ L. Ricketts
Excise Commissioner.

No. 1038-44
46

Official Memorandum

Copy of the foregoing is forwarded to the Deputy Commissioners of the districts for information & guidance with reference to this office No. 844-50 dated 13th August 1894.
35 14

/Signed/ L. Ricketts
Excise Commissioner

"Copy"

L Ricketts
Excise Commissioner

/A.V./

Gen. Serial No. 30.]

DEWAN OF MYSORE
3849
20 SEP 94
R1836

189

From

The Excise Commissioner
in Mysore

Submitted for orders

To

The Chief Secretary to the
Dewan of Mysore.

SECTION
LETTER No. 35 of 1893-94
REGISTER No. 10 5

Dated 18th
Despatched 20th September 1894.
Received

Enclosures No. (1 Plan)

General No. 1332
No. 132

~~In reply to~~

SUBJECT. with opinion

Forwards copy of communication from the Deputy Commissioner, Tumkur, together with the record of enquiry therewith received, in regard to the Toddy Revenue of Sakunaththimmanahally Grain village in the Kortagere Sub-Katha

References (to be entered only in the Dewan's Office.)

Record sent back for investigation in accordance with Govt. Proc. No: 2074-83 R-7-166-92 & 2/8/01

No. 1332 (Encl. & file) Excise Commissioner's Office
1332 Bangalore, 18th September 1894.

20

From

The Excise Commissioner,
in Mysore.

To

The Chief Secretary to the Dewan
of Mysore.

Sir,

In forwarding herewith, copy of docket No. 507, dated 12/14th instant from the Deputy Commissioner, Tumkur District, together with the record of enquiry therewith received, in regard to the Toddy revenue of Sakunathinnmana halli Inam village in the Kortagere Sub-taluk, I have the honor to state that I concur in the Deputy Commissioners opinion that the Inamdar is not entitled to Excise Revenue from toddy. No toddy shop exists in the

the village. About forty date trees are said to exist in it. The duty or license fee on toddy drawn from them vests in Government, the Inamdar being entitled only to the rent of the trees, as per particulars below, under the principle of calculation reported in this office No. 3735/369, dated 28th April 1894:—

1/3rd of rental including local cess for 1892-93, viz Rs 1-1-	Rs	0
	"	5 - 8
1/3rd of rental including local cess for 1893-94, viz Rs 0-15-11.	"	5 - 4

Deducting Rs "- "- 1 and Rs "- "- 1, respectively for the proportionate cost of establishment for 1892-93 and 1893-94, as per undermentioned details the differences payable to the Inamdar are Rs "-5-7 and Rs "-5-3 respectively:—

Toddy Revenue of the Maddagere Taluq.		Cost of Toddy Establishment of the Maddagere Taluk.		Toddy Revenue of the Inam village of Sakunthimanahally		Proportionate cost of Establishment debitable to the Inam village of Sakunthimana-hally.
1892-93 Rs 53179-3-0	:	Rs 804-0-0	::	Rs 0-5-8	:	Rs 0-0-1
1893-94 Rs 70247-0-3	:	Rs 1005-0-0	::	Rs 0-5-4	:	Rs 0-0-1.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient Servant

L Ricketts
Excise Commissioner,
in Mysore

In. S.

1894-95.

From

The Deputy Commissioner
Tumkur District

Enc to Sl. No 6

To

The Excise Commissioner,
in Mysore.

Dated 12/14th September 1894.
No. Mis: 507, Enc: 3.
Government Proceedings No. 443-51/R 69,
dated 11th July 1894.

Has the honor to forward, herewith, copy of decision together with the statement and the record of enquiry relative to the claim to the toddy revenue of the holders of the Sarvanamya Sakunattum-manahally in the Kortgere Sub-Taluk.

(Sd) B. K. Venkatanardiegar
Deputy Commissioner

"Copy"

S. Rice
Excise Commissioner
in Mysore

N. S.

Gen. Serial No. 30.]

OFFICE OF THE DEWAN OF MYSORE
3851.
1894 20 SEP. 94
R 1038

From

The Excise Commissioner in Mysore.

To

The Chief Secretary to the Dewan of Mysore.

35 of 1893-94
15 6

Dated 19th September 1894.

Despatched 20th.

Received

Enclosures No. (1 & (file)

General No. 1342

No. 134

In reply to

SUBJECT.

Forwards with opinion copy of communication from the Deputy Commissioner, Tumkur District, in regard to the Toddy Revenue of Chinchenahalli Hayamugutta Village in the Kortagere Sub-Taluk.

R 20/9/94

Recd 21/9

References (to be entered only in the Dewan's Office)

Rd 6/10. Record sent back for investigation in accordance with Govt. Proc. No. 2074-83 R 7-166-92 R 28/9/01

Submitted for Orders
E: Chief
Rd 10/10 Enter in Cash & Stock Book item as file till Govt Proceedings & Orders in the Case given & then file
10/10/94 S.A.
Note
Stitch each batch of Papers all together - note every letter - Read
10/10 S.A.

62

Excise Commissioner's Office

Bangalore 18th September 1894.

20

No. 1342 (Cy. 1 file)
194

From,

The Excise Commissioner
in Mysore

To.

The Chief Secretary to the
Dewan of Mysore.

Sir.

In forwarding, herewith, copy of docket No. 530, dated 15/16th instant, from the Deputy Commissioner, Tumkur District, together with the record of enquiry therewith received, in regard to the Toddy Revenue of Chinchenahalli Kayamgootta village in the Kortagere Sub-taluk, I have the honor to state that I concur in the Deputy Commissioner's opinion that the Kayamgoottadar is not entitled to Excise Revenue from Toddy. No toddy shop exists in the village. There are about twenty Date trees in it, which were sold in 1892-93 and 1893-94 for Rs. 1-1-0 and Rs. 2-7-11 respectively. These amounts include both duty or license fee on toddy which belongs to Government and the rent of the trees which vests in the Kayamgoottadar. Under the principle of calculation reported in my letter No. 3735/369, dated 28th April 1894, the Kayam-goottadar's share is as per particulars below:—

	Rs	a	p.
1/3rd of the rental including local cess for 1892-93 ------	"	5	8
1/3rd of the rental including local cess for 1893-94 ------	"	13	4.

Deducting Rs -—-—/ and Rs -—-—/ on account of the proportionate cost of establishment as per undermentioned details, the differences payable to the Hayamgoottadar for 1892-93 and 1893-94 are Rs -—5—7 and Rs -—13—3 respectively.

	Toddy Rev: of the Maddagiri taluk.	Cost of Toddy Establishment of the Maddagiri taluk.	Toddy Rev: of Inam village of Chinchenahally.	Proportionate cost of establishment debitable to Inam village of Chinchenahalli
1892-93	Rs. 53,179-3-—	Rs. 804-—-—	Rs -—5—8	Rs. -—-—/.
1893-94	Rs 70,247-—3	Rs. 1005-—-—	Rs. -—13—4	Rs. -—-—/

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant

M. Hetts

Excise Commissioner

in Mysore.

M. S.

Encl 457

From

The Deputy Commissioner
Tumkur Dt.

To

The Excise Commissioner
in Mysore

Dated 15th September 1894

No. 530. Enc 3

Government Proceedings No. 44351/R. 69,
of the 11th July 1893.

Has the honor to forward, herewith, copy of the decision with the statement and the record of enquiry relative to the claim to the toddy revenue of the holders of Kanyaingoottai Chinchanahally, in the Kortgéré Sub-Taluk.

(Sd). B. K. Venkatavardiengar.
Deputy Commissioner.

"Copy"

S Mukerji
Excise Commissioner
in Mysore.
M. S.

Rev
24-9-94

Submitted for orders

20/10. Keep in file the orders on "Note" with Mr. Palamaswamy's appeal by the Dewan Bahadur
1/10/94

Gen. Serial No. 30.]

1894 3942. 21 SEP 94 R1068

From
The Excise Commissioner
in Mysore

To
SECTION The Chief Secretary to the Dewan of Mysore.
FILE No. 35 of 1893-94
No. 87

Dated 18th
Despatched 21st September 1894
Received

Enclosures No. (14 1 file)

General No. 1370
No. 141

~~In reply to~~

SUBJECT.

Forwards with opinion copy of communication from the Deputy Commissioner, Tumkur District, together with the record of enquiry therewith received, in regard to the Toddy Revenue of Akkajihally Inam village, Kortagere Sub-taluk.

References (to be entered only in the Dewan's Office.)

6/10. Record sent back for investigation in accordance with Govt. Proc. No. 2074-83 R.T. 166-92 24/8/01

No. 1370 / 141 (Circle 14/file)

Excise Commissioner's office
Bangalore, 18th September 1894.
21

From

The Excise Commissioner,
in Mysore.

To

The Chief Secretary to the Dewan
of Mysore.

Sir,

In forwarding herewith, copy of docket No. 509, dated 12/14th instant, from the Deputy Commissioner, Tumkur District, together with the record of enquiry therewith received, in regard to the Toddy Revenue of Akkajihally Inam village, in the Kortagere Sub-Taluk, I have the honor to state that I concur in the Deputy Commissioner's opinion that the Inamdar is not entitled to Excise Revenue from Toddy. No Toddy shop exists in this village. There are about 150 date trees which were sold in 1892-93 and 1893-94 for Rs 12-12-0 and Rs 23-14-6 respectively. These amounts include both the duty or license fee on toddy which belongs to Government, and the rent of the trees which vests in the Inamdar. Under the principle of calculation reported in this office letter No. 3735/369, dated 28th April 1894, the Inamdar's share is as per particulars below:—

1/3rd

	Rs	as	p
1/3rd of rentāl including local Cess for 1892-93 -	4	4	0
1/3rd of rentāl including local Cess for 1893-94 -	7	15	6

Deducting Rs 0-1-0 and Rs 0-1-10 on account of proportionate cost of establishment as per undermentioned details, the differences payable to the Inamdar for 1892-93 and 1893-94 are Rs 4-3-0 and Rs 7-13-8 respectively.

Toddy Revenue of the Maddagere Taluk.	Cost of establishment of the Maddagere taluk.	Toddy Revenue of the Inam village of Akkajihally	Proportionate cost of establishment debitable to the Inam village of Akkajihally
1892-93. Rs 53179-3-0	: Rs 804-0-0	:: Rs 4-4-0	: Rs 0-1-0.
1893-94 Rs 70247-0-3	: Rs 1005-0-0	:: Rs 7-15-6	: Rs 0-1-10.

I have the honor to be
Sir,
Your most obedient Servant,

Illegible
Excise Commissioner
in Mysore

R. S

1894-95. 34

From

The Deputy Commissioner

Tumkur District

To

The Excise Commissioner

in Mysore.

Dated 12/14th September 1894.

No. Mis 509. Enc: 3.

Government Proceedings No. 443-57/A 69

of the 11th July 1894.

Has the honor to forward, herewith, copy of the decision with the statement and the record of enquiry relative to the claim to the toddy revenue of the holders of the Sarvamanya Akkajahally in the Kortgere Sub Taluk.

(Sd) B. K. Venkatavardiengar

Deputy Commissioner

"Copy"

I. Sheets

Excise Commissioner

In. S. in Mysore

35

Gen. Serial No. 30.]

3943.
21 SEP 94

1894 R 1069

Rev
21/9/94

From
The Excise Commissioner
in Mysore

To
SECTT. Sep Rev
The Chief Secretary to the
PAPER No. 350 of 1893-94 Dewan of Mysore.
SERIAL No. 98

Dated 19th
Despatched 21st September 1894
Received

Enclosures No. (1 on file)
General No. 1369
No. 140

~~In reply to~~

SUBJECT.

Forwards with opinion copy of Communication from the Deputy Commissioner, Tumkur District, together with the record of enquiry therewith received, regarding the Doddy Revenue of Ranga-nahally Inam village, Kortagere Sub-Taluk.

Submitted for orders
2. Clerk draft reply as below

22/10. His dd No. 1369-140 of 21/9/94

Is the honor to request the papers will be good enough to forward the Dy. Com's No. 532 of 14th Oct. and its enclosures to the Inam Supt. (2) for an early report of his opinion as to the correctness of the Dy. Com's decision and return with a copy of the Inam Supt's report for the Dewan's orders in this case.

B. 6

C. Secy.

J. M.

References (to be entered only in the Dewan's Office.)

Rd
6/10.

No. 1369 (Cu 14/94)
T.C.O.

Excise Commissioner's Office
Bangalore 19th September 1894.

2.

From

The Excise Commissioner
in Mysore

To

The Chief Secretary to the Dewan,
of Mysore,

Sir,

In forwarding herewith copy of docket No. 522, dated 14/15th instant, from the Deputy Commissioner, Tumkur District, together with the record of enquiry therewith received, regarding the Toddy Revenue of Ranganahally Inam village in the Kortagere Sub-taluk, I have the honor to state that I agree with the Deputy Commissioner that the Inamdar is not entitled to Excise Revenue from Toddy. At the Inam settlement it was probably meant that the Inamdar was entitled to the rent of the date trees in the village. No toddy shop exists in it. There are about 100 date trees in it. The right of manufacturing toddy from them was sold in 1892-93 and 1893-94 for Rs 2-2-0 and Rs 29-14-9, respectively. These amounts include both the duty or license fee on toddy which vests in Government and the rent of the trees which belongs to the

Inamdar

Inamdar. Under the principle of calculation reported in my letter No. $\frac{3735}{369}$, dated 28th April 1894, the share of the Inamdar for the rent of the trees is as per particulars below:—

$\frac{1}{3}$rd of the rental including Local cess for 1892-93 —	Rs as P " — 11 — 4
$\frac{1}{3}$rd of the rental including Local cess for 1893-94 —	9 — 15 — 7

Deducting Rs " — " — 2 and Rs " — 2 — 3, for 1892-93 and 1893-94, respectively, on account of the proportionate cost of establishment as per undermentioned details, the differences payable to the Inamdar are Rs " — 11 — 2 and Rs 9 — 13 — 4, for 1892-93 and 1893-94, respectively.

	Toddy Revenue of the Maddagere Taluk.	cost of establish-ment of the Maddagere Taluq.	Toddy Revenue of the Inam village of Ranganahally	Proportionate cost of establish-ment debitable to the Inam village of Ranganahally
1892-93	Rs 53179-3-0 :	Rs 804-0-0 ::	Rs 0-11-4 :	Rs 0-0-2
1893-94	Rs 70247-0-3 :	Rs 1005-0-0 ::	Rs 9-15-7 :	Rs 0-2-3.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient Servant,

J Meheta
Excise Commissioner
in Mysore

M.S.

1894-95.

From

The Deputy Commissioner

Tumkur District

Encl to Ltr dtd 8

To

The Excise Commissioner,

in Mysore.

Dated 14/15th September 1894.

No Mis 522 Enc: 3.

Government Proceedings No 443-51/R 69,

of the 11th July 1894.

Has the honor to forward herewith copy of the decision together with the Statement and the record of enquiry connected with the Settlement of the claim to the Toddy revenue of the holders of Ranganahally a Suvvamanya village in the Kortgere Sub-Taluk.

(Sd) B. K. Venkatavardaiengar

Deputy Commissioner

"Copy"

S Mukerji

Excise Commissioner

in Mysore

Gn. S.

55

Gen. Serial No. 30.]

1894 3944.

21 SEP 94

From R1070.

The Excise Commissioner

To in Mysore

The Chief Secretary to the Dewan of Mysore.

SECTION Exc. Rev.

FILE No. 35 of 1893-94

SERIAL No. ~~14~~ 9.

Dated 19th

Despatched 21st September 1894

Received

Enclosures No. (1 + file)

General No. 1368

No. 139

In reply to

SUBJECT.

Forwards with opinion copy of communication from the Deputy Commissioner, Tumkur district, together with the record of enquiry therewith received regarding the Toddy Revenues of Kayemgoodu Byaderahally village, Kortagere sub-taluk.

References (to be entered only in the Dewan's Office.)

Rev 21.9.94

Submitted for orders

KS 16/10.

Record sent back for investigation in accordance with Govt. Prop. No. 2074-83 dated 19/9/94

18/10/94

R. No. 166-92 dated 2/8/01

Excise Commissioner's Office,

No 1368
" 139
(Cross 14/Jan) 21

Bangalore, dated 19th September 1894.

From.

The Excise Commissioner
in Mysore.

To

The Chief Secretary to the
Dewan of Mysore.

Sir,

In forwarding, herewith, copy of docket No 527, dated 15/16th instant, from the Deputy Commissioner, Tumkur District, together with the record of enquiry therewith received, regarding the Toddy Revenue of Kayemgutta Byaderahally in the Kortagere Sub-Taluk, I have the honor to state that I agree with the Deputy-Commissioner that the

Kayemgoottadar

Kayemgoottadar is not entitled to Excise Revenue from Toddy. No toddy shop exists in the village. There are about ten date trees in it. The right of manufacturing toddy from them was sold in 1892-93 and 1893-94 for Rs 1-1-0 and 0-15-11, respectively. These amounts include both duty or license fee on toddy which vests in Government, and the rent of the trees which belongs to the Kayemgoottadar. Under the principle of calculation reported in my letter No. 3735/369, dated 28th April 1894, the Kayemgoottadar's Share for the rent of the trees is as per particulars below:—

	Rs. A. P
1/3rd of the rental including local cess for 1892-93	0-5-8
1/3rd of the rental including local cess for 1893-94	0-5-4

deducting Rs 0—0—1 & Rs 0—0—1

for 1892-93 and 1893-94 respectively on account of the proportionate cost of establishment as per undermentioned details, the differences payable to the Kayem-goottadar are Rs 0-5-7 & Rs 0-5-3 for 1892-93 and 1893-94 respectively.

Toddy Revenue of the Maddagiri Taluk.	Cost of establishment of the Maddagiri Taluk.	Toddy Revenue of the Inam village of Byaderhally	Proportionate cost of establishment debitable to the Inam village of Byaderhally
1892-93 Rs 53,179-3—0	Rs 804—0—0	Rs 0-5-8	Rs 0—0—1
1893-94 Rs 70,247—0—3	Rs 1005—0—0	Rs 0-5-4	Rs 0—0—1

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant

S Mikells
Excise Commissioner
in Mysore

M.S.

From

The Deputy Commissioner
Tumkur District

To

The Excise Commissioner
in Mysore.

Dated 15th/16 September 1894

Mis: Lr. No. 527. 1894-95.

Enclosures 3.

Government Proceedings Lr. No. 443-51/R.69 of the 11th July 1893.

Has the honor to forward herewith copy of the decision with the Statement and the record of enquiry connected with the claim of the holders of Kayamgutta Byadarhally in

in the Kortagere Sub Saloon

to the toddy Revenue thereof.

/Signed/ B.K. Venkataradaiengar
Deputy Commissioner
Tumkur District.

"Copy"

Mr. Bartlett
Excise Commissioner
in Mysore
Bn. S.

57

Gen. Serial No. 30.]

RECEIVED
3945-
1894 SEP. 94
R 1071

Rec
21.9.94

From The Excise Commissioner,
in Mysore

Submitted for orders
19/9/94
10/10

To SECTION Sep Rev
The Chief Secretary to the
Dewan of Mysore
FILE No. 938 of 1893-94
SERIAL No. 13 10

Dated 19th September 1894.

Despatched 20th

Received

Enclosures No. (1 & 1 file)

General No. 1367

No. 138

In reply to

SUBJECT.

Forwards with opinion copy of communication from the Deputy Commissioner Tumkur District, together with the record of enquiry received therewith regarding the Toddy Revenue of the Kayamgootta village of Sannenahalli in the Honnagere Sub-Taluk.

References (to be entered only in the Dewan's Office.)

6/10 Record sent back for investigation in accordance with Govt. Proc. No: 2074-83 dt 8/8/94
R.T. 1669 dt 8/8/94

Excise Commissioner's Office

No. 1367/138 (Cur. & Files) Bangalore 19th September 1894

From,

The Excise Commissioner
in Mysore

To,

The Chief Secretary to the
Dewan of Mysore.

Sir,

In forwarding herewith copy of docket No. 528, dated 15/16th instant, from the Deputy Commissioner, Tumkur District, together with the record of enquiry therewith received, regarding the Toddy Revenue of Kayamgootta Sannenahalli in the Kortagere Sub-Taluk, I have the honor to state that I agree with the Deputy Commissioner that the Kayamgoottadar is not entitled to Excise Revenue from Toddy. No toddy shop exists in the village. There are about two hundred Date trees in it. The right of manufacturing toddy from them was sold in 1892-93 and 1893-94 for Rs 15-15-0 and Rs 73-11-5 respectively. These amounts include duty or license fee on toddy which vests in Government; and the rent of the trees which belongs to the Kayamgoottadar. Under the principle of calculation reported in my letter No. 3735/369, dated 28th April 1894, the Kayamgoottadar's share for the rent of the trees is as per particulars below:-

1/3rd

	Rs.	a.	p.
1/3rd of rental including local cess for 1892-93 -------	5	— 5	— 0.
1/3rd of rental including local cess for 1893-94 -------	24	— 9	— 2

Deducting Rs. 0-1-3 and Rs. 0-5-8 for 1892-93 and 1893-94, respectively, on account of the proportionate cost of establishment as per under-mentioned details, the differences payable to the Karyamgoottadar are Rs. 5-3-9 and Rs 24-3-6 for 1892-93 and 1893-94, respectively:-

Toddy Revenue of the Maddagiri Taluk.	Cost of establishment of the Maddagiri taluk.	Toddy Rev. of the Inam village of Sannenahalli	Proportionate cost of establishment of Inam village of Sannenahalli

1892-93- Rs. 53,179-3-4 : Rs. 804-4-4 :: Rs 5-5-0 : Rs. 4-1-3.

1893-94- Rs. 70,247-4-3 : Rs. 1005-4-4 :: Rs. 24-9-2 : Rs. 4-5-8

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant.

L Micketts
Excise Commissioner
in Mysore.

From

The Deputy Commissioner
Tumkur District.

Ex 16 Jl 10.

To.

The Excise Commissioner
in Mysore.

Dated 15th September 1894

No. 528.

Enclosures 3.

Government Proceedings No. 443-51 / R. 69, of the 11th July 1893.

Has the honor to forward herewith copy of the decision with the statement and the record of enquiry relative to the claim of the holders of Hayamgootta Sannenahalli in the Kortagere Sub-Taluk to the Toddy Revenue thereof

(Sd) B. K Venkatavardiengar
Deputy Commissioner.

"Copy"

S Mackett
Excise Commissioner
in Mysore.

45

Gen. Serial No. 30.]

OFFICE OF THE ... 3946 21 SEP. 94 R1072

189 4

From

The Excise Commissioner

To SECTION Sep Revs Mysore

The Chief Secretary to the
FILE No. 35 of 1893-94 Dewan of Mysore.

SUBJECT No. 12 11

Dated 19th
Despatched 21st } September 1894.
Received

Enclosures No. (1 + 1 file)

General No. 1366
No. 137

In reply to

SUBJECT.

Forwards with opinion copy of communication from the Deputy Commissioner, Tumkur District, together with the record of enquiry therewith received, regarding the Loddy Revenue of Jodi Hanumana-halli village, Kortagere Sub-Taluk.

References (to be entered only in the Dewan's Office.)

Rd 6/10 In accordance with Govt. Proc. No. 2074-83 R.T. 166-92 Record sent back for investigation & 7/8/94

Rec
21.9.94

Submitted for order
10/10.

Re General letter 1362/154 dt 13/9/94
10/10

No 1366/137 (Cue 1 & 16 file)

Excise Commissioner's office,
Bangalore, dated 19th September/14.

From.

The Excise Commissioner
in Mysore.

To

The Chief Secretary to the
Dewan of Mysore.

Sir,

In forwarding herewith, Copy of docket No 521, dated 14th instant, from the Deputy Commissioner, Tumkur district, together with the record of enquiry therewith received, regarding the Toddy Revenue of Jodi Hammanahalli in the Kortagere Sub-Taluk, I have the honor to State that I agree with the Deputy Commissioner that the Jodidar is not entitled to Excise Revenue from toddy. No toddy shop exists in this village.

There

There are about 200 date trees in it. The right of manufacturing toddy from them was sold in 1892-93 and 1893-94 for Rs 9-0-6 and Rs 55-12-6, respectively. These amounts include both duty or license fee on toddy which vests in Government, and the rent of the trees which belongs to the Jodidar. Under the principle of calculation reported in this office letter No. 3735/369, dated 28th April 1894, the Jodidar's share for the rent of the trees is as per particulars below:—

	Rs. A. P.
1/3rd of rental including local cess for 1892-93	3-0-2
1/3rd of rental including local cess for 1893-94	18-9-6

Deducting Rs 0—0—8, and Rs 0-4-3, for 1892-93 and 1893-94, respectively on account of the proportionate cost of establishment as per undermentioned details, the

differences

differences payable to the Jodidar are Rs 2-15-6 and Rs 18-5-3 for 1892-93 and 1893-94 respectively:-

Toddy Revenue of the Maddagiri Taluk	Cost of establishment of the Maddagiri Taluk.	Toddy Revenue of the Inam village of Hanumanahally	Proportionate cost of establishment debitable to the Inam village of Hanumanahally.
1892-93 Rs 53,179-3-0	Rs 804-0-0	Rs 3-0-2	Rs 0-0-8
1893-94 Rs 70,247-0-3	Rs 1005-0-0	Rs 18-9-2	Rs 0-4-3

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient Servant

S Miketts

Excise Commissioner

in Mysore

M. S.

1894-95 — 49

From

The Deputy Commissioner
Tumkur district.

En to Sl 12

To

The Excise Commissioner
in Mysore.

Dated 14th September 1894.

Mis: No 521. 1894-95

Enclosures 3.

Government Proceedings No 4435-51
R. 69
of the 11th July 1893.

Has the honor to forward, herewith, copy of the decision together with the Statement and the record of enquiry relative to the claim of the holders of Jodi Hanumanahalli in the Kortgere Sub Taluk to the toddy revenue thereof

[Signed] B. K. Venkatavaradaiengar
Deputy Commissioner

"Copy"

Shirketts.

Excise Commissioners

in Mysore.

M. S.

Rec
21.9.94

Submitted for orders

Ks 10/10 21.

Sent in pursuance in Dr 1342/1340 19/9/94

Sep Regime
Sec
File No. 350/1893-94
S 12

Gen. Serial No. 30.]

189 4

OFFICE OF THE 3947. 21 SEP 94

From

The Excise Commissioner in Mysore.

To

The Chief Secretary to the Dewan of Mysore.

Dated 19th September 1894

Despatched 21st.

Received

Enclosures No. (14 files)

General No. 1365

No. 136

In reply to

SUBJECT.

Forwards with opinion copy of communication from the Deputy Commissioner, Tumkur District, together with the record of enquiry received therewith, regarding the Toddy Revenue of the Jodi village of Pammenahalli in the Madhugiri Sub-Taluk.

References (to be entered only in the Dewan's Office)

Rd 6/10 Records sent back for investigation in accordance with Govt: Proc: No: 1076-83. R.T. 166-92 R 1/8/01

Excise Commissioner's Office

No. 1365 (Cancelled file) Bangalore 19th September 1894.
136 2/

From

The Excise Commissioner
in Mysore

To

The Chief Secretary to the
Dewan of Mysore

Sir,

In forwarding herewith copy of docket No. 529, dated 15/16th instant, from the Deputy Commissioner, Tumkur District, together with the record of enquiry therewith received, regarding the Toddy Revenue of Jodi Pannenahalli in the Kortagere Sub-taluk, I have the honor to state that I agree with the Deputy Commissioner that the Jodidar is not entitled to Excise Revenue on Toddy. No toddy shop exists in the village. There are about twelve Date trees in it. The right of drawing toddy from them was sold in 1893-94 for Rs. 11-15-3. This amount includes duty or license fee on toddy which vests in Government and the rent of the trees which belongs to the Jodidar. Under the principle of calculation reported in my letter No. 3735/369, dated 28th April 1894, the Jodidar's share for the rent of the trees is as per particulars below:-

	Rs.	a.	p.
1/3rd of the rental including local cess for 1893-94 - - -	3	- 15	- 9.

Deducting Rs 0 – 0 – 11 on account of the proportionate cost of establishment as per undermentioned ~~details~~, the difference payable to the Jodidar is Rs. 3 – 14 – 10.

Toddy Revenue of the Maddagiri Taluk for 1893-94.	Cost of establishment of the Maddagiri Taluk for 1893-94.	Toddy Revenue of Enam village of Pamenahalli for 1893-94	Proportionate Cost of establishment chargeable to the Enam village of Pamenahalli.
Rs. 70,247 – 4 – 3	Rs. 1005 – 4 – 4	Rs. 3 – 15 – 9	Rs. 0 – 0 – 11.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant.

L Rickets

Excise Commissioner

in Mysore.

From,

The Deputy Commissioner
Tumkur District.

To.

En to Sl 12 The Excise Commissioner
in Mysore.

Dated: 15th/16 September 1894.

No. 529.

Enclosures 3.

Government Proceedings No. 443-51/Q.69, of the 11th July 1893.

Has the honor to forward herewith copy of the decision together with the state-ment and the record of enquiry relative to the claim to the toddy revenue of the holders of Pannenahally, a Jodi village in the Kortagere Sub-taluk.

(Sd). B. K. Venkatavardienga,
Deputy Commissioner.

"Copy"

Excise Commissioner
in Mysore

In S.

Gen. Serial No. 30.]

1894 SEP 4288.

21 SEP

From The Excise Commissioner in Mysore

To

SECTION ~~The Chief Secretary to the~~ Dewan of Mysore

FILE No. 35 of 1893-94

SERIAL No. ~~10~~ 13

Dated 22nd

Despatched 27th September 1894

Received

Enclosures No. (1 + file)

General No. 1477

No. 148

~~In reply to~~

SUBJECT

Forwards with opinion copy of communication from the Deputy Commissioner, Tumkur District, together with the record of enquiry therewith received regarding the Toddy Revenue of Penmadevarahally, Kortagere Sub-taluk

---

References (to be entered only in the Dewan's Office.)

Records sent back for investigation in accordance with Govt. Proc.s: No: 1074-83 R.T.166-92 dt 2/8/01

Excise Commissioner's office

No. 1477 (Excise & Police) Bangalore, 22nd September 1894.
148
27

From

The Excise Commissioner,

in Mysore.

To

The Chief Secretary to the Dewan

of Mysore.

Sir,

In forwarding, herewith, copy of docket No. 543, dated 18/19th instant from the Deputy Commissioner, Tumkur District, together with the record of enquiry therewith received, regarding the Toddy Revenue of Penmadevarahally in the Kortagere Sub-taluk, I have the honor to state that I agree with the Deputy Commissioner, that the Inamdar is not entitled to Excise Revenue from toddy. No toddy shop exists in the village. There are about 20 date trees in it. The right of manufacturing toddy from them was sold in 1892-93 and 1893-94 for Rs 6-6-0 and Rs 24-14-5 respectively. These amounts include both duty or licensie fee on toddy which vests in Government, and the rent of the trees which belongs to the Inamdar. Under the principle of calculation reported in my letter No. 3735/369, dated 28th April 1894, the share of the Inamdar for the rent of the

trees

tues is as per particulars below:-

1/3rd of rental including local cess for 1892-93 —	}	Rs as P 2 — 2 — 0
1/3rd of rental including local cess for 1893-94 —	}	8 — 4 — 10

Deducting Rs 0-0-6 and Rs 0-2-0 for 1892-93 and 1893-94, respectively on account of the proportionate cost of establishment as per undermentioned details, the differences payable to the Inamdar are Rs 2-1-6 and Rs 8-2-10 for 1892-93, and 1893-94 respectively.

Toddy Revenue of the Madda-gere Taluk.	Cost of Establishment of the Madda-gere taluk.	Toddy Revenue of the Inam village of Pennmadevarahally	Proportionate cost of establishment debitable to the Inam village of Pennmadevarahally.
1892-93 Rs 53179-3-0 :	Rs 804-0-0 ::	Rs 2-2-0 :	Rs 0-0-6
1893-94 Rs 70247-0-3 :	Rs 1005-0-0 ::	Rs 8-4-10 :	Rs 0-2-0.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient Servant,

Illegible
Excise Commissioner
in Mysore

No. 1

68 En 15 & 18.

From

The Deputy Commissioner,
Dunkur District

To

The Excise Commissioner
in Mysore

Dated 18/19th September 1894.

No. Mis: 543 En: 2.

Government Proceedings No 443-51/R 69,
of the 11th July 1893.

I Has the honor to forward herewith, copy of the decision with the statement and the record of enquiry connected with the claim to the toddy revenue of the holders of Pennadevarubally a Sowva-manya village in the Korlgire Sub-Taluk.

(Sd) B. K. Venkatavardaiengar
Deputy Commissioner

"Copy"

L Ricketts

Excise Commissioner
in Mysore

74

Gen. Serial No. 30.]

OFFICE 4577

189 4 R 1273

From

The Excise Commissioner in Mysore

SECTION Sep Rev

FILE No. 35 of 1893-94

SERIAL No. 28-44

To

The Chief Secretary to the Dewan of Mysore.

Dated 3rd

Despatched 4th } October 1894

Received

Enclosures No. (1+1 file)

General No. 1606

No. 161

In reply to

SUBJECT.

Forwards with opinion copy of communication from the deputy commissioner Tumkur district, together with the record of enquiry in regard to the Toddy Revenue of Jodi Budamarnahalli in the Kortagere sub Taluk.

References (to be entered only in the Dewan's Office.)

Rev 1c

Record sent back for investigation in accordance with Govt. Proc. No. 2074-83 R.T.166-92 dt 2/8/01

16

Excise Commissioner's Office,

No 1606 (Excl & Office) / 161 Bangalore, dated 3rd October 1894.

From.

The Excise Commissioner
in Mysore.

To

The Chief Secretary to the
Dewan of Mysore.

Sir,

In forwarding herewith, copy of dockets Nos 545, dated 18th September & 598, dated 29th September 1894, from the 1st October Deputy Commissioner, Tumkur district, together with the record of his enquiry in regard to the Soddy Revenue of Jodi Budamarnahalli in the Kortagere Sub-taluk, I have the honor to state that I agree with the Deputy Commissioner that the Jodidar is not entitled

entitled to Excise Revenue, i.e duty or license fee on toddy. No toddy shop exists in this village. There are about 35 date trees in it. The right of manufacturing toddy from them in 1892-93 and 1893-94 was sold for Rs 1-0 and 0-15-11 respectively. These amounts include both duty on toddy which vests in Government and the rent of the trees which belongs to the Jodidar. Under the principle of calculation reported in this office letter No. $\frac{3735}{369}$, dated 28th April 1894, the Jodidar's shares are as per particulars below:—

1/3rd of rental including local cess for 1892-93 } Rs. A. P. 0—5—8

1/3rd of rental including local cess for 1893-94. } 0—5—4

deducting Rs 0—0—1 and Rs 0—0—1 for 1892-93 + 1893-94, respectively on account of the proportionate cost of establishment as per undermentioned details the differences payable to the Jodidar are Rs 0—5—7 + Rs 0—5—3 for 1892-93, and 1893-94, respectively:—

Toddy Revenue of the Maddagiri Taluk.	Cost of establishment of the Maddagiri Taluk	Toddy Revenue of the Inam village of Budamanahally.	Proportionate cost of establishment debitable to the Inam village of Budamanahally.
1892-93 Rs. 53,179-3-0	Rs 804-0-0	Rs 0-5-8	Rs 0-0-1
1893-94 Rs. 70,247-0-3	Rs 1005-0-0	Rs 0-5-4	Rs 0-0-1

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

S. Mukerji

Excise Commissioner

in Mysore

Enclosure 1

From

The Deputy Commissioner
Tumkur District

To

The Excise Commissioner
in Mysore

Dated 18th/19 September 1894
Mis No. 545 - Enc 2

Government Proceedings No. 4443-51/R. 69
of the 11th July 1893.

Has the honor to forward herewith Copy of the decision together with the Statement and the Record of Enquiry Connected with the claim to the toddy Revenue of the holders of Jodi Budamarnahally in the Hortgéré Sub-Taluk

/Sd/ B. K. Venkata Narasinga
Deputy Commissioner
Tumkur District
D.D.C

From 79

The Deputy Commissioner
Tumkur District

To

The Excise Commissioner
in Mysore

Dated 29th September 1894
1st October

Mis No. 598

His docket No. 1411/47 of the 21st instant stating that the record of enquiry alluded to in this office docket No. 545 of the 17th instant was not received.

Has the honor to state that the record referred to was despatched in a separate Cover on the 19th instant.

/Sd/ B. K. Venkata Varadiengar
Deputy Commissioner
Tumkur District

"Copy"

S Mukerji
Excise Commissioner
in Mysore

26.

Gen. Serial No. 30.]

OFFICE OF THE DEWAN OF
4682
7 OCT. 94
R/299

189

From

The Excise Commissioner in Mysore.

SECTION Separate To
FILE No. 35 of 1893-94
SERIAL No. 151

The Chief Secretary to the Dewan of Mysore.

Dated 4th October 1894.

Despatched 6th.

Received

Enclosures No. (1 & 3 files)

General No. 1640

No. 166

~~*In reply to.*~~

SUBJECT

Forwards with opinion copy of communications from the Deputy Commissioner, Tumkur District, together with the record of enquiry in regard to the Toddy Revenue of the Inam villages of Gollahally and Borasandra in the Sira Taluk.

References (to be entered only in the Dewan's Office.)

Rule 7/10/94

Record sent back for investigation in accordance with Govt. Resp. No. 2076-83 R.T. 166-92

12/8/94

Excise Commissioners Office

Bangalore 4th October 1894

No 1640 (Excise Files) / 166

From

The Excise Commissioner
in Mysore

To

Ex 15 Sl 7

The Chief Secretary to the
Dewan of Mysore

Sir.

I have the honor to forward herewith copy of dockets Nos 556, dated 20th September 1894, and 597 dated 29th September / 1st October 1894, from the Deputy Commissioner Tumkur District, together with his record of enquiry in regard to the Toddy Revenue of the Inami villages of Gollahalli and Borasandra in the Sira taluk. As both the villages belong to Uttarade Mutt, he has made one record for both of them, but has submitted separate decisions and Statements. I agree with him that the Mutt is entitled both to Excise Revenue on Toddy, and to the rent of the date trees in the said villages, utilized for the manufacture of Toddy. There are date trees in both the villages, each of which has a Toddy Shop. The amounts realized by separate sales in 1892-93 and 1893-94 are as follows:-

Name of village	1892-93			1893-94		
	Toddy shop	Date Grove	Total	Toddy shop	Date Grove	Total
Gollahalli -	76-8--	63-12-0	140-4-0	223-2--	79-11--	302-13-
Borasandra -	191-4--	229-8--	420-12--	111-9--	96-10--	207-

The

2. The following sums should be deducted from the above totals on accou of the proportionate cost of establishment.

	Toddy Revenue of the Sira Taluk. Rs. a. p.	Cost of Establishment of the Sira Taluk. Rs. a. p.	Toddy Revenue of the Inam Village of Gollahalli. Rs. a. p.	Proportionate Cost of Establishment of Inam village of Gollahalli. Rs. a. p.
1892-93	33,488-15-4	432-4-2	140-4-2	1-12-11
1893-94	38,918-13-6	540-4-4	302-13-4	4-3-3

	Toddy Revenue of the Sira Taluk. Rs. a. p.	Cost of Establishment of the Sira Taluk. Rs. a. p.	Toddy Revenue of the Inam village of Borasandra. Rs. a. p.	Proportionate cost of establishment of the Inam village of Borasandra. Rs. a. p.
1892-93	33,488-15-4	432-4-2	420-12-4	5-6-10
1893-94	38,918-13-6	540-4-4	207-3-4	2-14-4

The balances payable to the Mutt are:-

	1892-93 Rs. a. ps.	1893-94. Rs. a. ps.
For Gollahalli	138-7-1	298-9-9
" Borasandra	416-5-2	204-5-

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant

S Mutts

Excise Commissioner

in Mysore

M.S.

From,

The Deputy Commissioner
Tumkur District.

To,

The Excise Commissioner
in Mysore.

Gr 18th ₹ 15:

Dated 20th/21st September 1894.

No Mis. 556.

Encl: 3.

His Memo No. 3091/77 of the 20th February 1894 returning the vernacular records connected with the enquiry into the claim to Khoddy revenue of the holders of the Inam villages of Gollahally and Borasandra in the Sira Taluk, and calling for distinct decisions in the case of each village.

Has the honor to forward herewith copies of the decisions passed about the claim to Khoddy revenue of the Inam villages of Gollahally and Borasandra referred to in the above. The vernacular records are enclosed.

(Sd.) B. K. Venkataravaradiangar
Deputy Commissioner.

From,

The Deputy Commissioner Tumkur Dt.

To

The Excise Commissioner in Mysore.

Dated 29th September / 1st October 1894

No. Mis. 597.

His

It is docket No. $\frac{145^{c}2}{48}$ of the 24th September 1894 requesting the forwardal of the statements relating to the enquiry held in connection with the settlement of the claim to Koddy revenue of the Inam villages of Gollahally and Borasandra in the Sira Taluk.

Has the honor to forward herewith the statements called for in the above.

(Sd.) B. K. Venkataviradamma
Deputy Commissioner
Tumkur District

"Copy".

S Meikle
Excise Commissioner
in Mysore.

Ri: S

Rec
16.10.94

95

Gen. Serial No. 30.]

1894

OFFICE OF THE DEWAN OF MYSORE
4805
16 OCT 94
R1328

From

The Excise Commissioner
in Mysore.

SECT Sep Rec
FILE N 35 of 1893-94
SERIAL N 16

To

The Chief Secretary to the
Dewan of Mysore.

Dated 10th October 1894

Despatched 15

Received

Enclosures No. (1 + file)

General No. 1699

No. 194

~~*In reply to*~~

SUBJECT

Forwards with opinion copy of communication from the Deputy Commissioner, Tumkur District, together with the Record of enquiry relating to the Toddy Revenue of Jodi Madappanahally village in the Kunigal taluk.

References (to be entered only in the Dewan's Office.)

Record sent back for investigation in accordance with Govt: Proc: No: 2074-83 R.F 166-92 2/8/01

No. 1699 (Cypher file)
194

Excise Commissioner's Office
Bangalore 10th October 1894
15

From,

The Excise Commissioner
in Mysore.

To.

The Chief Secretary to the
Dewan of Mysore.

Sir,

In forwarding, herewith, copy of docket No. 618, dated 2nd/8 instant, from the Deputy Commissioner, Tumkur District, together with the Record of enquiry therewith received, in re Toddy Revenue of Jodi Madappanahally in the Kunigal Taluk, I have the honor to state that I agree with the Deputy Commissioner, that the Jodidar is not entitled to Excise Revenue from Toddy. There are no date trees in the village. A Toddy shop which exists in it was sold for 1892-93 and 1893-94 for Rs 165-12-0 and Rs. 179-4-9 respectively. These amounts wholly rest in Government; the Jodidar having no right to Excise Revenue on the vend of Toddy in the village.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant

J Micketts
Excise Commissioner
in Mysore.

In. C.

1894 97

Ex to sl 83

From,

The Deputy Commissioner
Tumkur District.

To,

The Excise Commissioner
in Mysore.

Dated 2nd/3 October 1894.

No. 618. Enclos: 3.

Government Proceedings No. 443-51/R-69 of the 11th July 1893 in re the settlement of the claim to toddy revenue in Inam villages.

Has the honor to forward, herewith, a copy of the decision as well as the statement and the record of enquiry relating to the toddy revenue of the Jodi Mudappanahalli village in the Tumkur Taluk.

(Sd) B. K. Venkatavardhaiyar
Deputy Commissioner

"Copy"

Sd/ [illegible]
Excise Commissioner
in Mysore

Jn S

Rev
16.10.94

Gen. Serial No. 30.

189 4806
17329

From

The Excise Commissioner
in Mysore.

To

SECTION Sep Rev
The Chief Secretary to the
FILE No. 35 of 1893-94 Dewan of Mysore.
SER. No. 17

Dated 10th October 1894

Despatched 15

Received

Enclosures No. (1 & 1 File)

General No. 1700
No. 195

~~In reply to~~

SUBJECT.

Forwards with opinion, copy of communication from the Deputy Commissioner, Tumkur District, together with the Record of enquiry relating to the Toddy Revenue of Jodi Mallapura village in the Kunigal taluk

References (to be entered only in the Dewan's Office.)

Records sent back for investigation in accordance with Govt: Proc: No 2074-83 R.T 166-92 dt 2/8/01.

No. 1700/195 (E/4/file) 81

Excise Commissioner's Office
Bangalore 10th October 1894
15

From,

The Excise Commissioner
in Mysore

To,

The Chief Secretary to the
Dewan of Mysore

Sir,

In forwarding, herewith, copy of docket No. 616, dated 2nd/3 instant, from the Deputy Commissioner, Tumkur District together with the Record of enquiry therein with received, in re Toddy Revenue of Jodi Mallapura in the Huniyal taluk, I have the honor to state that I agree with the Deputy Commissioner that the Jodidar is not entitled to Excise Revenue from Toddy. There are no date trees in the village. A Toddy Shop which exists in it was sold for 1892-93 and 1893-94 for Rs. 102-0-0. and Rs. 286-14-0, respectively. These amounts wholly rest in Government; the Jodidar having no right to Excise Revenue on the rent of Toddy in the village.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient Servant
L Micketts
Excise Commissioner
in Mysore

Dr. S.

82

1894. Encl 19

From.

The Deputy Commissioner
~~Tumkoor~~ District

To.

The Excise Commissioner
in Mysore

Dated 2nd October 1894.

No. 616. Enclos: 3.

Government Proceedings No. 443-51 R. 69 of the 11th July 1893 about the settlement of the claims to toddy revenue in Inam villages.

Has the honor to forward, herewith a copy of the decision (with the record of enquiry and statement) referring to the toddy revenue of the Jodi village of Mallapura in the Khunigal Taluk.

(Sd.) B. K. Venkatavarchingar.
Deputy Commissioner

"Copy"

Mr. Sketts
Excise Commissioner
in Mysore

Rec
16.10.94

69

Gen. Serial No. 30.]

4807.

16 OCT. 94

1894 21330

AN OF MYSORE

From

The Excise Commissioner
in Mysore

To

The Chief Secretary to the
SECTION Dewan of Mysore

FILE No. 35 of 1893-94

SERIAL No. 18

Dated 10th
Despatched 15 } October 1894
Received

Enclosures No. (14 files)

General No. 1701
No. 196

~~*In reply to*~~

SUBJECT.

Forwards with opinion
copy of communication
from the Deputy Commissioner
Tumkur District together
with the record of
enquiry in re Toddy
Revenue of Jodi Hanuma-
-pura in the Kunigal
Taluk

21.10.94
R.T. 1771/10

References (to be entered only in the Dewan's Office.)

Record Sent back ~~with~~ for investigation
in accordance with 2074-83 & 2/6/94
R.T. 1669 2

Excise Commissioner's Office,

No. 1701/196 (Cultivation) Bangalore, dated 10th October 1894.
15

From

The Excise Commissioner
in Mysore

To

The Chief Secretary to the
Dewan of Mysore

Sir,

In forwarding herewith copy of docket No. 623, dated 2nd instant from the Deputy Commissioner, Tumkur District, together with the Record of enquiry therewith received, in re Toddy Revenue of Jodi Hanumapura in the Kunigal taluk, I have the honor to state that I agree with the Deputy Commissioner that the Jodidar is not entitled to Excise Revenue from Toddy. The village contains no date trees. A Toddy shop which exists in it

wds

was sold for 1892-93 and 1893-94
for Rs 159-6-0 and Rs 255-"-"
respectively. These amounts wholly
vest in Government; the Jodidar
having no right to Excise Revenue
on the vend of Toddy in the
village.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient Servant

S Mukatta
Excise Commissioner
in Mysore

M. S.

1894 72.

En to Ll 18

From.

Deputy Commissioner
Tumkur District

To

Excise Commissioner
in Mysore.

Dated Tumkur. 2nd/3rd October 1894

Mis: No 623. 1894-95

Enclosures Number 3.

Government Proceedings No 443-51 R. 69 of the 11th July 1893 in re the Settlement of the claim to toddy Revenue in Inam villages

---

Has the honor to forward herewith, a copy of the decision (with the Statement and the record of enquiry) relating to the Toddy Revenue of the Jodi

Jodi village of Hanumapura
in the Kunigal Taluk.

/Signed/ B.K. Venkatavaradaiyar
Deputy Commissioner

"
Copy
"

L. Micketts
Excise Commissioner
in Mysore

N.S.

Rev
16.10.94

Gen. Serial No. 30.]

1894 4808
Q 1331

From
The Excise Commissioner
in Mysore

SECTION Sep Rev
FILE No. 35 of 1893-94
SERIAL No. 2219

To
The Chief Secretary to the
Dewan of Mysore.

Dated 10th
Despatched 15 } October 1894
Received

Enclosures No (14 files)

General No. 1702
No. 197

~~In reply to~~

SUBJECT.

Forwards with opinion copy of communication from the Deputy Commissioner, Tumkur District, together with the record of enquiry therewith received in re the Toddy Revenue of godi Krishnasagara, Kunigal Taluk.

Excise
31/10

References (to be entered only in the Dewan's Office.)

Record sent back for investigation in accordance with Govt: Proc^s No: 2074-83 & 2/8/01
R.F. 16692

No. 1702 (Excise file) Excise Commissioner's Office
197 Bangalore, 10th October 1894.
15

From

The Excise Commissioner
in Mysore

To

The Chief Secretary to the Diwan
of Mysore

Sir,

In forwarding, herewith, copy of docket No. 619, dated 2nd/3 instant from the Deputy Commissioner, Tumkur District, together with the record of enquiry therewith received re Toddy Revenue of Jodi-Krishnasagra, in the Kunigal Taluk, I have the honor to state that I agree with the Deputy Commissioner that the Jodidar is not entitled to Excise Revenue from Toddy. No Toddy shop exists in the village. There are about 700 date trees in it. The right of manufacturing toddy from them in 1892-93 and 1893-94 was sold for Rs 6-12-0 and Rs 15-15-0 respectively. These amounts include both duty on Toddy which rests in Government and rent of the date trees which belongs to the Jodidar.

Under the principle of calculation reported in my letter No. 3735/369, dated 28th April 1894, the Jodidar's shares are as per particulars below:-

below :-

	Rs	as	P
1/3rd of rental including local cess for 1892-93	2	4	0
1/3rd of rental including local cess for 1893-94	5	5	0

Deducting Rs 0-0-8 and Rs 0-1-8, on account of the proportionate cost of establishment as per undermentioned details, the differences payable to the Jodidar are Rs 2-3-4, and Rs 5-3-4, for 1892-93 and 1893-94 respectively :-

Joddy Revenue of the Kunigal Taluk.	Cost of Establishment of the Kunigal Taluk.	Joddy Revenue of the Inam village of Krishnasagra	Proportionate cost debitable to the Inam village of Krishnasagra
1892-93 Rs 23106-3-0 :	Rs 432-0-0 ::	Rs 2-4-0 :	Rs 0-0-8
1893-94 Rs 27063-13-10 :	Rs 540-0-0 ::	Rs 5-5-0 :	Rs 0-1-8

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient Servant,

S Ranketts
Excise Commissioner
in Mysore

Dr. S

1894.94 Encl 19

From

The Deputy Commissioner
Tumkur District

To

The Excise Commissioner,
in Mysore.

Dated, Tumkur 2nd/3 October 1894.

No 619. En: 3.

Government Proceedings No 443-57/R69, of the 11th July 1893, in re the Settlement of the claim to Toddy Revenue in Inam villages.

I Has the honor to forward, herewith, a copy of the decision (with the Statement and the record of enquiry) referring to the toddy revenue of the Inam village of Krishnasagara in the Tumkur Taluk.

(Sd) B. K. Venkatavardhiyar
Deputy Commissioner

"Copy"

Mr Sketts
Excise Commissioner
in Mysore

M. S.

Rev
16.10.94

Gen. Serial No. 30.]

1894 4823.
R 1346

From
The Excise Commissioner
in Mysore

To
The Chief Secretary to the
Dewan of Mysore.

Sec Rev
25 of 1893-94
27 20

Dated 10th
Despatched 15 } October 1894
Received

Enclosures No (1 file)

General No. 1697
No. 192

~~In reply to~~

SUBJECT

Forwards with opinion, copy of communication from the Deputy Commissioner, Tumkur District, together with the record of enquiry therewith received in re the Toddy Revenue of Kayemgoottha Sule Kuppa Hunigal Taluk.

Reserve
2.94

References (to be entered only in the Dewan's Office.)
Record sent back for investigation in accordance with Govt: Proc: No 2074-83 R. 7.166-92 dated 21/8/01

Ex

No. 1697 / 192 (Exc 4 file)

Excise Commissioner's office
Bangalore, 10th October 1894

15

From

The Excise Commissioner
in Mysore

To

The Chief Secretary to the Dewan
of Mysore.

Sir,

In forwarding, herewith, a copy of docket No 617, dated 2nd/3 instant, from the Deputy Commissioner, Tumkur District, together with the Record of enquiry therewith received in re Toddy Revenue of Kayemgootha Sale kuppa in the Kunigal Taluk, I have the honor to state that I agree with the Deputy Commissioner that the Kayemgoothadar is not entitled to Excise Revenue from Toddy. There are no date trees in the village. A Toddy shop which exists in it was sold for 1892-93 and 1893-94 for Rs 102-0-0 and Rs 159-6-0 respectively.

These

These amounts wholly vest in Govern-
-ment, the Kayengoottadar having
no right to Excise Revenue on the vend
of Toddy in the village.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient Servant,

S Ricketts
Excise Commissioner
in Jaffna

In. S.

1894. 99 Encl 20

From

The Deputy Commissioner,
Tumkur District.

To

The Excise Commissioner,
in Mysore.

Dated, Tumkur 2nd/3 October 1894.

No 617 Encls: 3.

Government Proceedings No 443-67, R 69 of the 11th July 1893 in re the Settlement of the claim to Toddy Revenue in Inam villages.

Has the honor to forward, herewith, a copy of the decision (with the statement and the record of enquiry) referring to the Toddy Revenue of the Kayengootha village of Sulikuppe in the Kunigal Taluk.

(Sd) B. K. Venkatanarasiengar
Deputy Commissioner

"Copy"

L. Wiseltts
Excise Commissioner
in Mysore

R. S.

Rec
16.10.94.

Gen. Serial No. 30.]

189 4824

From

The Executive Commissioner
in Mysore

To SECTION Sep Rev
The Chief Secretary to the
FILE No. 35 & 1093-94
Dewan of Mysore.
SERIAL No. ~~20~~ 21

Dated 10th October 1894

Despatched 15

Received

Enclosures No. (71 file)

General No. 1698

No. 193

~~In reply to~~

SUBJECT.

Forwards with opinion copy of communication from the Deputy Commissioner, ~~Tiptur~~ District together with the Record of enquiry relating to the Toddy Revenue of Jodi Chennapura village in the Hunigal taluk

Expd 24/10

References (to be entered only in the Dewan's Office.)

Record sent back for investigation in accordance with Govt. Proc. No 2074-83 R. 7.1662 dated 22/8/01

No. 1698 (Cl & file) / 193

Excise Commissioner's Office
Bangalore 10th October 1894.
15

From,

The Excise Commissioner
in Mysore.

To,

The Chief Secretary to the
Dewan of Mysore.

Sir,

In forwarding herewith copy of docket No. 621, dated 2nd/3 instant, from the Deputy Commissioner, Tumkur District, together with the Record of enquiry therewith received, in re Toddy Revenue of Chennapura Jodi village in the Huni-gal taluk, I have the honor to state that I agree with the Deputy Commissioner that the Jodidar is not entitled to Excise Revenue from Toddy. No Toddy Shop exists in the village. There are about one thousand date trees in it. The right of manufacturing Toddy from them in 1892-93 and 1893-94 was sold for Rs. 12-12-0 and Rs. 11-15-3, respectively. These amounts include both duty on Toddy which vests in Government, and rent of the date trees, which belongs to the Jodidar. Under the principle of calculation reported in my letter No. 3735/369, dated 28th April 1894, the Jodidar's shares are as per particulars below:-

1/3rd

	Rs.	a.	p.
1/3rd of rental including local cess for 1892-93 - - - - -	4	-4	-0.
1/6th of rental including local cess for 1893-94 - - - - - -	3	-15	-9.

deducting Rs 0-1-3 and Rs. 0-1-3 on account of the proportionate cost of establishment as per undermentioned details, the differences payable to the Jodidar are Rs. 4-2-9. and Rs. 3-14-6 for 1892-93 and 1893-94 respectively:-

	Toddy Revenue of the Hunigal taluk. - -	Cost of Establishment of the Hunigal taluk.	Toddy Revenue of the Inam village of Chennapura	Proportionate cost debitable to the Inam village of Chennapura
	Rs. a.p.	Rs. a.p.	Rs. a.p.	Rs. a.p.
1892-93 =	23,106-3-4 :	432-4-4 ::	4-4-0 :	0-1-3
1893-94 =	27.063-13-10 :	540-4-- ::	3-15-9 :	0-1-3.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant

L. Elliotts
Excise Commissioner
in Mysore

M. S.

1894. 86

En to dc 24

From,

The Deputy Commissioner
Tumkur District

To,

The Excise Commissioner
in Mysore.

Dated 2nd October 1894.

No. 621. Enclos: 3. /

Government Proceedings No. 443-51 R.69. of the 11th July 1893 in re the settlement of the claim to toddy revenue in Inam villages.

Has the honor to forward, herewith, a copy of the decision (with the statement and the record of enquiry) referring to the toddy revenue of the Jodi village of Chennápura in the Kunigal taluk.

(Sd.) B. K. Venkatavardhiengar
Deputy Commissioner

"Copy"

Illegible
Excise Commissioner
in Mysore

Jr. S.

The Excise Comr
in Mysore

Dy 30th Oct 94
1-11

No 6763
R 983

Rec'd.

SECTION Secretariat
FILE No 35 of 1893-94
SERIAL No 10 2.2

Letter No 1369/140 Dy 19th Sept 94
Submitting for orders the record
of inquiry regarding the toddy
Rent of Ranganahalli Inam
Village in the Kortagere Sub-Taluk

In reply, I am the honor
to request the Excise Comr
will be good enough to for-
-ward to the Inam Supdt
the Tumkur
Dy Comrs No 5228 Dy 14 Augt 94
& its enclosures (these with returned)
for an
expression of his opinion on
para 5 of the Dy Comrs
decision and re-transmission
with an Extract from the Inam
Register bearing on this case.

B.O.
30/10
for Chief Secy

S. Ref. 10/10/94

Gen. Serial No. 30.]

1894 6910
R1944

From

The Excise Commissioner
in Mysore

To



The Chief Secretary to the
Dewan of Mysore.

Dated 27th November 1894.

Despatched 28th

Received

Enclosures No. 1 + 1 file

General No. 2550

No. 251

~~*In reply to*~~

SUBJECT.

Forwards with opinion copy of communication from the Deputy Commissioner, Tumkur District, together with the original Record of enquiry relating to the claim to Toddy Revenue preferred by the Inamdar of Honnamachewahalli village, Kunigal Taluk.

References (to be entered only in the Dewan's Office.)

Rev 28/11/94
Records sent back for investigation
1/12 in accordance with Govt. Proff
Rv: 2076-83 & 1/8/05
R.F. 166-92

Excise Commissioner's Office
Bangalore, 27th November 1894.

No. 253/251 (Cue/Hfile)

From.

The Excise Commissioner
in Mysore.

To.

The Chief Secretary to the
Dewan of Mysore.

Sir,

In forwarding, herewith, copy of docket No. 779, dated 6/7th instant, from the Deputy Commissioner, Tumkur District, together with the original record of enquiry into the claim to Toddy Revenue preferred by the Inamdar of Honnamachenahalli in the Huniyal taluk, I have the honour to state that in the Inam Settlement Register of the above village, received with the Inam Superintendent's No. 77, dated 24/26th instant, the following record is made:-

"This is a valid Inam granted by His "Highness the Maharaja at the time of "the grantee's marriage to His Highness' "uncle's daughter. The first Summud puts "down the assessment at 245 pagodas "and 1 fanam, to which 16 pagodas and "1 fanam was added in 1827 on account "of Shendi and Sharab.

× × × × × × × .

"The Summud alienates all the items of "revenue without reservation, excepting perhaps Sandal

"Sundal which is not expressly granted."

2. I am therefore of opinion that the Inamdar is entitled to the Excise Revenue from both Arrack and Toddy. No Toddy Shop exists in the village. The right of drawing toddy from the trees in the date grove belonging to it for 1892-93 was put up to auction, but no bids were received; but Rs. 47-13-0 in-cluding local cess were offered for 1893-94. As recommended by the Deputy Commissioner, the whole of this amount minus the proportionate cost of establishment as per particulars below, may be paid to the Inamdar.

Toddy Revenue of the Hunigal taluk for 15 months of 1893-94.	Cost of establishment of the Hunigal taluk for 15 months of 1893-94.	Toddy Revenue of the Inam Village of Honamachena-challi for 15 months	Proportionate cost debitable to the Inam village of Honamachena-halli	Balance payable to the Inamdar.
Rs 27,063-13-10 :	Rs. 540-- : :	Rs. 47-13-0 :	Rs 1-15-6.	Rs. 46-13-6.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant.

S Meikle

Excise Commissioner

in Mysore.

Dr. S.

1894. 180

Ex b 22

From,

The Deputy Commissioner
Tumkur District.

To

The Excise Commissioner
in Mysore.

Dated 6th November 1894.

No. 779. Enclos: 2.

Government Proceedings No. 4443-51/A.69 of the 11th July 1893 in re the settlement of the claim to toddy revenue in Inam villages.

I has the honor to forward, herewith, a copy of the decision (together with the statement) relating to the claim to the toddy revenue of Sarvamanyam Honnamachenahalli in the Kunigal Taluk. The record of enquiry in this case consists of correspondence between this office and that of the Palace Comptroller. It was returned to him.

(Signed) B. K. Venkatavaradaiengar,
Deputy Commissioner

"Copy".
Shubetty
Excise Commissioner
in Mysore.

Siddapura	Gangadhara sastri and others	No order available on this subject. The Inamdars state that hitherto the Item was not given out on Contract to any body			
Jodi Bajreshally	1. Vyasappa-sastry 2. Subbasastry 3. Viswanatha-sastry 4. Narajinda-sastry 5. Gangadhara-sastry 6. Ahobalasastry 7. Narayana-sastry	Deed of gift by Sri Inams Increase of the year Bhava Magha, Saluvaha-naseka 1616 granted by Palle-gar Ranabhyre Gowda to Dabiri Kondappa Deed of gift	14	14	14
		dars state that the toddy item has belonged to their Village and that they have been enjoying it.			
Kayimpatta Venkata Yerrapanate	Venkatarabhatta	No particulars are given in the Sanmid. The Jodidars state that they have been enjoying the Sayer Pancha-bab.			
Jodi Ramalamanahalli	Srinivasastry and 4 others	The Jodidars state that from the time immemorial this item has belonged to their Village.			

Sahuk

		20		
4	14	Iro. trees	Upon the data of the Documents mentioned in column 4, Sayewa li sheets executed by Toddy and arrack Contractors Narasimhayowda and Gopalayowda	No trees in the Village One shop kept in it and toddy vended the amount shown in columns 5 to 9 levied as "Nelavali" Village account bears reference as such
				150. Young plants
		10		

Jodi Gadda thimmana halli	Srinivasa Sastry	No particulars are given in the Sannad. In the Saheed given by the Amildar to the Manager of the Chendikhana, under date the 10th Hoon's increase of the month of Ashada of the year Pramoduta, it has been stated that the toddy item should be made over to the Villagers. The Jodidars state that from time immemorial the
Kayem gutta Ole kapura	Sankaraiya	The Jodidar states that no mention is made in the Sannad and that there is no revenue.
Jodi ada vinagena halli	Annia Char and 9 others	The Jodidars state that no mention is made in the Sannad, and that this item has been enjoyed to them from the time immemorial. The Shendi Entendy also states that this item belongs to the Jodidars.

Kayemputta Gurumurtia Inyakalun manahally		No mention is made in the Sannad He states that this item belongs to the Government			
Jodi Hari Govramma harpura and 6 others		Do	—	—	
Kayemputta Bhagavan mudda ta Row verdékéri			—	—	—
Eajihalli Rambhatta and others			—	—	
Kayemputta Bhypava Varadana bhatta and halli 2 others			—	—	—
Kayam putta Ra Jevammah manahalli and Sesha Char			—	—	—
		item has belonged to the Village			
Jodi By Konchibhatta rapura and 2 others			—	—	—
Jodi Ke Venkambhatta rapura and 9 others		No mention is made in the Sannad			
Jodi Ka Narasma rapura Sastry and yakanhalli 6 others		The Inistuddy States that the Sayer Panjabab has belonged to the Government			
Kayam putta Thippa Joish Thippa pura			—		
Jodi Mud Venkata denahalli mappa and 3 others			—	—	—

Jodi Gar-navi-	Ananta-Anigalhar and others	No mention is made in the Sannad- The Jodidars (Vrittidars) state that the toddy item has belonged to them-
Sarvana-nyem Ada-vikatana-halli	Manager of the Cottra-ji Matt-	No mention is made in the Sannad- It is said that the toddy item has been enjoyed by the Inamdars-
Kayemputta Yerana-palya	Jerimana-Char and 6 others	No particulars are given in the Sannad- The Jodi
Jodi Ba-navana-halli	Padmamma and Poolta-sami	
Sarvana-nyem Lakshmi-pura	Venkatavadha-ni and others	They state that in the Sannad granted by His Highness the Maha Raja of Mysore, under date the 3rd Phoonis increase of the month of Chytra in the year Sarvadhari, the toddy item is mentioned and that they have been accordingly enjoying the same-

200			It appears that 15 or 20 years ago Appiachar father of Govindachar present Jodidar lease out the trees to the Toddy Contractor for Rupees 5 for 1 or 2 Year only, but the Jodidar has no reference to prove it. Either the Government, or the Contractors have never interferred with the trees. The Ryots have been using the dates leaves.
500			

of Koratagiri Taluk to Narasima sastri and others on 20 August 1847, Yadi issued from the Tumkur Abkari Office to Jodidar Vyasasastri No 1880 of 29 March 1881 and Yadi No 114 of 14th September 1883, issued from the Maddagiri Taluk Abkari Office to the Jodidars

Jodi Ha. mmana halli	Govindachar	Deed of gift executed by Polliyar Holavana Gally Ranabhiyé Gowda on Saturday the 10 Iroons decrease in Jesta of the year Virodhi krutu Salivaha na seka 1713. Paravana issued by Dewan Purnaya to Amil Gangadha raya of Koratagéré Taluk on the 12 Iroons decrease in Phalguna of the year Durmuti. Nirupa issued by His Highness
		from the year Visvavasu to the year Sowmya a sum of 4 Pagodas, 4 fanams and 1 Hoga would be paid per annum
Kayenjetta Thimmanna Thimma sandra	and others	
Kayenjetta Venkanna Hanman tapura	and others	
Jodi Da. Sanahalli	Bhatta, Srinivasa Castri	No intention is made in the Jamma. The Jodidars state that they have enjoyment

enjoyment from time immemorial, and that in the Inchilka granted by Narasajowda, son of Eadejar Narasimiah, it has been stated that a sum of Kanteraji 2 Pagodas, 4 fanams and one haja would be paid as contract amount.

Sawana Jain Basti
mya Ven. Devasthana
Katapura Manager

Korakagere tank

8- Mahadevasastry
9- Lakshmipatisastri
10 Suryanarayanasastri
11- Chennakesavaya
12- Sitaramsastri
13- Ramrow Pantalu

granted by the latter to Mahadevasastry, Ramasastri and Benchemmasastri of the 8 Inroons decrease in Sravana of the year Eswara Salivahanasaka 1619 and Nirupa issued by Ranabhyrejowda to the Potel and Shanbhogue of Bhyreenahalli on the 10 Inroons increase in Aswija of the year Eswara

	Dasé gowda and Narasima gowda of Seetakal on the 10 September 1885	reasonable amount
15	The Inamdars state that 20 years ago contract was given for 1 or 2 Rupees, and that no reference could be found.	The leaves of the trees of this Village have since been utilized.

	way. But that they should not vend without a Government License. The Amildar of this Taluk has written to say that since 1883/84 a sum of Rupees 20 per annum has been paid to the Jodidars.	

240	240	5000	In the Endorsement No 766 granted by the Inam Superintendent, under date the 26 February 1874, to Jodidar Narasimha Moorti, it has been stated that under the Chief Commissioner's instructions, the Jodidars might lease the date grove to the Government Contractor or they might utilize the same in any other
		20	Vide Remarks to Sakunthinnana-halli	Vide Remarks to Sakunthinnanahalli
	About 500			Since 1853 a certain amount was being received by the Jodidar from the Government and from the Contractor

	About 700.		The Contract was being leased out separately for some years. Since 1874 the Contractors of the Government Villages obtained the Contract for some years and were paying 24 Rupees. There being no large number of trees in this Village no lease used to be granted to any one
	40		

	and for some years — from Contractors —
— About 1000	Contract was given to others for some years — Since 1874 the Government Contractors obtained the Contract from Inamdar and were paying him 18 Rupees for some years.

54	Borasandra 200. Gollahalli Samachar 100	Upon the statement of Agent	The Agent Samachar has given a statement to the effect that Contractors keep 2 toddy shops every year, one at Gollahalli and another at Borasandra and pay Rupees 4-8-a per months for both the shops. The Abkari Ameena has also written to say that this has been observed from a long time
	50		No Samaad was produced by the Jodidars of this Village. The trees are scattered here and there, and that they have never been tapped
	250		Samaad not produced by the Jodidar.
			No toddy trees in this Village.
			Do.

Sarvana	Sree Swami	There is no reference			
wyen	of Ootradi	of the original Sanad here. Agent	54	54	54
Borasan	mutt	Puttachar Contractor			
dra		is dead. His brother			
Golla		Samachar produced			
halli		an original Docu			
		ment Revenue Do			
		3407 23d August			
		1847 issued by			
		the late Chief			
		droog Division to			
		the Mutt. It is			
		mentioned therein			
		that the Sayer,			
		toddy and all			
		Rents must be en			
		joyed by the Mutt			
		and it is also			
		mentioned that			
35 Jodi	Venkatranya				
Vunyra	Char &c				
36 Jodi	Ganyadhara				
Dodda	saatry, Rama				
Kallahally	chendrasa				
	tris sore sub				
	bramanya				
	saatry				
37 Jodi	Narasimha				
Shubra	Char, Srini				
kere	vasa Char &c				
38 Kayn	Ramaswa				
Gutta	mah Nan				
Kodi					
halli	Jundiah				

Kudligi	39 Sarva	Bakshi Na				
	manyem	rasappa				
	Kilara					
	40 Jodi	Chetthambra				
	Hossahalli	Bhatta &				
Harlapur	Jodi Soma	Venkatara				
	lapura	mabhatta				
	Jodi Bitta	Dakshna				
	makunika	murti sas				
		try and others				
	Jodi Pa	madwachar				
	lyadahalli	Vasudeva				
		Char & others				
	Kayemputta	Gundappa	No mention is made in the Sanad. The Jodidars state that			
	Kanavena and others					
	halli					
Kudligi Taluk	Dodda Narahari		There is a Sanskrit Shasanam in Copper plate granted upwards of 300 years ago. It is not clear what particular revenue is conceded in it, but it conveys the whole revenue of the Village.			The Jodida they have no the toddy. Re the above y owing to som -pute among -ves, and to used to be c some sub in formerya proof of w have produ Kulkarnis.
	Sanna Iriswamy					
	mala other 62					
	pura Kidars					

		revenue being allowed to the holder of the Village is clear
Jodi Ajje gudde	Narasamma	Vide reference stated in case of the above said Yerekatte Jodi Village
Jodi Kal lenahalli	Lakshamma	Vide reference made for the above said Jodi Yerekatte

Chikkanayakanhalli

		they have not enjoyed the Dew
Jodi Anje nahalli	Krishnachar Ramachar, Krishnachar	
Kayenutta Chikkthim manahalli	Seshachar Krishnachar	No mention is made in the samad they state that they have given out the subsequently grown trees on Contract, and have been taking the amount
Jodi Thimi Capura	Ramashesha bhatta and Viswanatha bhatta	

Jodi Vil. Lakshmino- lapura rasimhabhatta and 3 others	No particulars are given in the kan- nad. The Jodidars state that the toddy item is conceded to the Village, that they have got a Inuchilka granted on 25th September 1845, by Venkatramiah Muttaddy under the Sandi Contractor Veerakilli to Nara- simhasastry, taking the toddy item on Contract for 5 years from the year Visva- vasu to the year
	1507, Karvotthatte (all revenue) is allowed.
Jodi Yeré Venkarama- Katté rayanasas- tri	Copy of order No 322 of 24th Septem- ber 1873 issued by the late Chief Com- missioner's Office to Venkatiah Toddy Contractor of Bu- dihal Taluk.
	Copy of order No 237 of 22-8-73, issued by the late Budihal Taluk to the said Contractor. From the above the fact of the toddy revenue

Chikkayakadahalli:

		by Dewan Purnayya to the Taluk Amildar on the 8th Moon's increase of Margsira of the year Raktakshi it is stated that only 80 Pagodas should be taken to the Sirkar annually, and that whatever they deprive in excess might be enjoyed by them
Jodi Lakkumma halli	Surjoss and others	In copy of Sanad of 15 Moon's increase of Magha of Vyaya Salivahanadika Sowmya at 5 Pagodas per annum Sanad granted by Dewan Ramrao stating that the toddy trees may be allowed to be enjoyed by them as has been done from 24th October 1814
Jodi Thimmalu	Rambhatta and another	
Kayem Gattahalli	Seshagiri Row Vishwanatha Row	
Kayemgutta Chikkanahalli	Narayanbhatta alias Shamanna	No mention is made in the Sanad the latter that there are young trees recently planted

	Jodi Sivà-nagiri	Ramannah & 27 individuals	No mention is made in the Sannad granted by Dewan Purnaya on the 7: Moon's decrease of Magha in the year Sidharti	240	240
	Sarvana-nya Akkajhalli	Ranganatha Char	Year Durmathi. Parvana issued by Dewan Purnaya to Koratagiri Amil Setaramiah on the 3? Moons increase of Sravana of the year Rowdri		
Chiknayakanhalli	Jodi Hossahalli	Venkatesa bhatta and others	In copy of Sannad of 15: Moon's increase of Sravana of the year Pramathi Salivahana Saka 1500, Sarvothpatti (all revenue) is allowed. In the Nirupa issued		

Koralagere Taluk

		employed in Mr. Appurow's Khate of Survey Department
Survana Ranjanatha		Deed of gift executed
nyem sa	Char	by Holavanahalli
Kunthini		Ranabhyre Gowdaru
rivanahalli		to Venkatnarasima
		char dated 30 Hoons
		decrease of Sravana
		of the year Kala
		yukti Sydhvatsa
		rasaka 1660 Para
		vana issued by De
		wan Pernaya to
		Gangadhariah Amil
		dar of Koratagere
		of 17½ Hoon's decrease
		of Ashada of the

Jodi Kuf	Ramachari	
pa Char		
Roppa		

Jodi Ven-manana-halli	Sambhatta	No mention is made in the Samud. The Jodidar states that he has a right
Jodi Chen-benahalli	Sambhatta	The Jodidar states that in the Inuchilka executed by Imtsuddy Ven-katramanjah on behalf of Contractor Virapilla, to the address of the Jodidar, under date the 25 of July 1845, it has been stated that
Korakotagiri		Maharaja Krish-naraj Vadeyar to-Dasopant Amil-dar of Korataguri Taluk, on the 10: Moon's decrease in Aswija of the year Prajotpatti Paravana issued by Narasinja Row to Amildar to Ven-kama, on the 12: Moon's decrease of Phalguna of the year Akshaya Samud is not forthcoming, it is said to be with his son-in-law Srini-vas Row, who is
Kayenputta-Sommena-halli	Appanna Char	

			There are no toddy trees in this Village.
			Do.
			Do.
			Do.
			Do.
			Do.
			Do.
			Do.
	50		Bindu Madhava Cha states that he has been enjoying the Village for the last 20 years; that out of 200 toddy trees in the Village as 50 trees are only fit to be tapped no revenue was realized every year, and that in 1881-82

cont'd

27. Jodi Kadasu-ganahalli	Subbabhatta &c.	—	—		
28. Jodi Halgeré	Chikramasastri &c.			—	—
29. Jodi Kuppé	Narayanabhatta &c.		—	—	—
30. Jodi Bedogé	Nanjunda sastri		—	—	—
31. Jodi Hammapura	Chikramasastry &c.		—	—	—
32. Jodi Mallapura	Venketraya Char &c.		—	—	—
33. Jodi Handalgeré	Narnabhatta &c.		—	—	—
34. Jodi Puttayapalya	Subbasastry		—	—	—

Kunigal

Sira

		orders have been issued to Taluks directing that Government and Contractors should not interfere with this			
Jodi Maddak-kanahalli	Yalvarda Binder Madhavachar	Original Sanad is mislaid. Binder Madhavachar has given out a statement that this fact was intimated to the Inam Department during the time of settlement of inams.	—		

In the Vernacular
Instrument Register
dated
18 December 1877
received in the
Taluk Sundi and
other items are
not mentioned

24. Jodi Shattaran	Hanumantah and 7 others	75 —	70 —	
25. Jodi Kalkéré	Karnik Eshpah Krishnabhatta	150 —	150 —	150 —
26. Jodi Kofatta	Venkatarasiah Ramasastri & 4 individuals	70 —	70 —	70 —

			Contract was given for Rupees 2 — to the Toddy Contractors shop keepers for vending, and in 1887-88 for Rupees 2-8-— The Aukari Amena states that this Village is not taken up on Contract. There is no reference to show that the item of toddy has been enjoyed. If the Contractors however extract toddy from the trees in this Village they will have to make payment for the same to the Inamdar.
	500	Contract agreement obtained from the Contractor.	very long time. It is alleged that the Sannad has been mislaid.
150	10000	Contract document obtained from the Contractor.	The Sannad was not produced by these individuals. The Jodidars state that mention has been made in the Inam settlement Register.
66	8000	Contract document obtained from the Contractor.	The Shekdar reports that the Inamdars state that one and the same Sannad for this and Thattasandra Village, Hs. 24, has been mislaid.

				There are no toddy trees in this Village
				Do
				Do
				Do.
				Do.
66-10-8	166-10-8	10.000	Contract agreement obtained from the Contractor	No mention is made of the toddy trees in the Varnad But the Varnadars state that the right of the toddy trees has been in their enjoyment from a
	30			There are 30 or 40 toddy trees in this Village Samachar and Sibbanna Char state that formerly when there were trees fit to be tapped, they were leased out for Jaggery owners The Athikari Ameena states that no Contract of toddy of this Village has been taken There is no reference to show that the item toddy has been enjoy If the Contractors tract toddy

18. Kayem Gutta Hut - tenahalli	Venkatrama Char, Srini vasa Char &			
19. Karva nrayen Honnama chenahally	Devaraj Urs			
20 - Do: Lak Kasettipura	Vrajalalsett			
21. Bolena hally	Do.			
22. Berjo nahalli	Do			
23 Jodi Kere	Raghaven draffa - Madhwa Rao &	Samud dated 5 Moon's increase of Vysakha of the Year Durmati -		166-10-8

Kanigal

Jodi Ar thapura	1 Sri Ava Hosta Sa maehar - / Subbanna char of Iran chenahally of Goribidnur Taluk	No original san nud - In the re vised Final Jirit rent Register of 16 September 1887 received at the Taluk the item of toddy and other items are not men tioned -		

Jodi Pad	Ajali Krish	No Samud In the revised final Quit rent Rejister of 15 June 1887 received at the Taluk the items of Sunde ds are not mentioned			
mapura	nappa				
	Sira Puttiah				

Kind

14 Sarva mangya Bhanda kalli	Sahukar Da modara Dasa sett Vraja laldasasett	12 Hoons decrease of Magha of the year Virodhi			
15 Dodda matthkin	Sahukar Damodara Dasasett Vra laldas sett	12 Hoons decrease of Magha of the year Virodhi	60	60	60
16 Chikka matthkin					
17 Kayem Sutta	Venkatrama Sastri				
Vapatra	Dc Char Hoons Char				

H.

18. Kayem	Venkatramana								
Gutta	Mut	Char,	Trini						
tenahalli	vasa	Char	&-						
19. Karva									
marayem	Devarajurs								
Hormana									
chenahally									
20 - Do: Lak	Vrajalalsett								
Kasettipura									
21. Bolena									
hally	Do.								
22. Berj									
nahalli	Do								
23. Jodi Sette	Raghaven	Sanad dated 5							166-10-8
Kere	draffa	Moon's increase of							
	Madhwa Rao	Vysakha of the							
	&-	Year Durmati							

Kanigal

Jodi Sette	1	Aria Ava	No original San						
thapura	Thota	Sa	nad - In the pe						
	machar		vised Final Sett						
	1	Subbanna	lement Register						
	char	of Main	16 September 1887						
	chenahally		received at the						
	of Goribidnur		Taluk the item						
	Taluk		of toddy and other						
			items are not men						
			tioned -						

				There are no toddy trees in this Village
				Do.
				Do.
				Do.
				Do.
66-10-8	66-10-8	10.0.00	Contract agreement obtained from the Contractor	No mention is made of the toddy trees in the Lamad But the Lamadars state that the right of the toddy trees has been in their enjoyment from a
1	30			There are 30 or 40 toddy trees in this Village Samachar and Subbammal har state that formerly when there were trees fit to be tapped, they were leased out for Jaffory owners. The Atukari Ameena states that no Contract of toddy of this Village has been taken. There is no reference to show that the item of toddy has been enjoy of the Contractors tract toddy f

			the trees in this Vill, they will have to mak payment for the same to the Inamdar.
	300.		These individuals stat that this Village was mortgaged to them by Powjada Narasimha- sastri and Abobala- sastry, and they hav been enjoying it since Sreemukha 1873-74, that the Inam Sam- mad maylu with them (Mortgagors) and tha they have been receiving Rupees 10 annually -
			Sammad is not forth coming.
	50		There is no date grove in this Village. The Gardens are paying 72 Rupees a year at Rupees 6 per mensem for opening a shop a and vending toddy in this Village.
60	60	2.500	It is stated that 60 Rupees a year at 5 Rupees per mensem have been levied from Contractors for this.
	50		Toddy not drawn - Sam mad not produced - No revenue -

There are no toddy trees in this Village

Do.

Do.

Do.

Do.

There are no trees fit to be tapped and there are only 50 or 60 trees

from the Vendors c[illegible] of toddy who obtained shop on Contract from the Sgardar. The Abkari Ameena states that no Contract of this Village has been taken. There is no preference to show that the items of toddy have been enjoyed. Should the Contractor of toddy from the trees in this Village they will have to take it by making payments for the same to the Sgardar

8. Jodi Vanjéré	Venkatrami Ayyar				
9. Kayem Gutta Lekuppé	Ramappa				
10. Jodi Enhally	Venkalāpati Bhatta and others	No.			
11. Jodi Hadona halli	Ramaswami Ayyar				
12. Jodi Serjona halli	Venkalāpati Bhatta and others	No.			
13 Jodi Inadappa nahalli	Nagadi Venkatramabhatta and others				

Karnajoli

Jodi Ba	Subbasastri	No Samand		
Cabasa	lun Dwa	Final Inst Rent		
vanahalli	Karasastry	Repo-		
		ter not received		

Kurud

1 Jodi Krishnasa	Dasambhatta and others	No	-	-
2 Jodi Chennapura	Chennappa and others	No	-	-
3 Jodi Da savapura	Subbabhatta	-	-	-
4 Jodi Hal Sonahalli	Sivaramiah	No	-	-
5 Jodi Chik malvode Chaturbha	Sivaramiah	Nil		-
6 Kurudi Sa halla Cha turbhaga	Sivaramiah and others	No	-	-
7 Settigeri Chatur bhaga	Narasimasas try Subba tastry	Do		

Kurud

	500	This individual sta that from the time of his ancestors this Village has been enjoyed in shares by himself and Krishnasastri of Chinnavaradahalli, Dinkur Taluk, and that the original Sannad is with Krishnasastri Sambasastri the son of Krishnasastry states that the Sannad was not with him and that it was with Subbasastri the present holder, who already de
	600	The Inamdars have no right to the toddy trees of both these Villages
	300	There are no toddy trees in this Village
		Do.
		Do.
		Do.
		Do

there is no separate
Samaad for this; this
is included in the
Samaad of that Village
The present enjoyers state
that with the exception
of the toddy trees that
have now become fit
to be tapped there are
also about 2000 young
trees

There are few date
trees in the Village,
which the Inamdar
says he has been en-
joying ever since the
grant

mid having got the
same with him. Sub-
basastry further states
that for the last 10 years
no Contract was given,
though previously con-
tract used to be given
every year to the Re-
tail shopkeepers for
Rupees 24 — per
annum —

The Amin of this
place writes to say
that the management
of toddy of these Vil-
lages should be as-
certained from the
Ameens

Mayarada	The present
Mayra	Enjoyers are
Krishna	1. Ramachar
Juria	2. Venketra
	Ghavachar
	3. Ghanlâchar
	4. Srinivasa
	Char
	5. Srinivasa
	Char
Kayem	Swamdar
putta	Srinivasrow
Atchem	The present
mana	Enjoyer Sri
halli	dhara Row

Pongada

Jodi De Sri Sebi varahalli Narasimha swame		Sannud granted by His Highness the Maha Raja under date the 16 May 1811.			
	The present enjoyer do:	Maharaja Krishna raj Vadair, under date 11th February 1831.			
Surya manya Venkata pura Ma jare Jala Pura Srinivas pura	Sannaddar Srinivasachar enjoyes hereditary by Rangachar	Sannad granted by His Highness the Maharaja Krishna Raj Vadair under date 30 July 1830.	120	120	120 Shop tax
Sarvamanya Venkatapura	Sannaddars Do: the	Deed of Gift executed by the above Sannaddars.	54	54	54 Shop Sute

				Amena of the Limita Taluk. There is no reference to show that the item of toddy has been enjoyed. Should the toddy be extracted from the trees in this Village the Contractors will have to make payment for the same to the Inamdar. Nallappa the Inamdar has made a statement to the effect that he is entitled to enjoy the item of toddy as per orders issued to him by the District Officer, under date the 16
				of the toddy item. Sanad was granted for the entire Village. There is no toddy grove in the Village at present.
20	120	About 500 trees	Stamped Document executed by Contractors to Inamdars and Inamdars accounts	In the Sanad the toddy item is expressly mentioned. The Inamdars state that with the exception of the trees that have already become fit to be tapped there are also about 1000 trees, which would become fit to be tapped hereafter.
4	8	About 1000 trees	Inamdars enjoyment and allegation	This is a Bhatkali Village to Ankolapura there

dy	trees	Contractors to the	particular mention
2	75	Inamdars and	of the toddy item in
		Inam accounts.	the Samvad, and as
			the Samvad was
			granted for the entire
			Village this item was
			included in it and
			that from the begin-
			ning they have been
			enjoying it. They have
			also planted a date
			grove which now con-
			tains 200 trees capa-
			ble of being tapped
			and about 1000 trees
			which would become
			fit hereafter
			In the Samvad there
			is no separate mention
			February 1878.
	150		1. The Inamdars state
			that agreements were ex-
			cuted by the Toddy Con-
			tractors, under dates
			the 2d April 1874 and
			the 23d February 1879,
			stipulating to pay Rs.
			15 per annum for
			working out the toddy
			item of this Village &
			they likewise paid the
			Contract amount.
			2. The Inamdars state
			this item has not since
			been leased out to any
			one

	son in law of Kanteraya Char. The present enjoyers are 1. Yammachar 2. Krishnma -char 3. Srinivasa -thatachar 4. Seshachar	[illegible] by His Highness the Maharaja Krishna Raj Wadair under date 16 September 1816.	Revenue	of to 52 a
Podujada				
Hosakote Jodi	Samaddar do.	Sanad granted by His Highness the		
Kondaji Jodi Vil.	Gopalasastri and others	In the Nirupa spened by Dewan Purnaya to Tummi kere Amil Seenappa 97 Moons decreased of Adhika Chitra of the Year Rak takshi, Charlab [illegible] conceded		

Gubbi

Kalena Yegna Village	Kara halli Jodi yana sastri and others	Original Sannad not forthcoming They state that it is not known whether this right is conceded or not in it			
Gangasandra Jodi Village	Arasmada halli Venkata dikshita and others Mort gage Lovri Kiri Gundap pa (Dead) Enjoyer Ramadasappa	Original Sannd is not with them They state that they do not know whether in it the right is conceded or not			
Kalla sellihalli Jodi Vil and others	Chikarama krishnasasty and others	They state that the orgnal. sannad of the Jodi			
Ramasamudra Sarvamanya	Sannaddar Erigembaka sastry His Grandson Venketrama sastri the present Enjoyer	Sannad granted by His Highness the Maharaja Krishnaraj Vadair under date 13th October 1823			
Jayirayan halli Jodi	Sannaddars Venkalachar	Sannad granted by His Highness the	57	57	57

Gubbi

Pargana

Revenue of

	Nil	Nil	They state that there are no toddy trees in this Village.
	Nil	Nil	Do:
	Nil	No toddy revenue no samud.	Do:
			With the exception of Sandal all the other Items are conceded to the Inamdar as per Samud referred to in Column 4. The Inamdar states that there being no toddy trees in the Village there has been no revenue under this item since 1872. Hence the columns 5 to 9 are left blank. The Inamdar however enjoyed rent of a Toddy shop in the Village from 1869 to 1873.
Toddy shop		Stamped Document executed by the Contractors	The Inamdars state that there is no particular
7	108	200	

			Samad this item is allowed and it has also been in his enjoy-ment.
21—	1500	21—	Do:
	Fit to be tapped 25		The Jodidar states that as these 25 trees have become fit to be tapped in this year they have been leased out at 3 pies per tree.
	Young trees 15		
	40		
	Old trees 5		The Jodidar states that as there are no trees fit to be tapped the extraction of toddy is not given to any one.
	Young ones 9		
	14		
	Nil	Do:	Do
	Nil	Do:	Do
	400		The Inamdar states that as there was no fair bid, the tapping was not allowed. As

there

Tipkur	Sarthavalli	Swami of Vyasaraya Matt	of the year Sarvajitu Do:	21--	21--	21--
Tumkur Taluk	Arasinakodahalli	Gopaladikshita				
	Kalanjihalli	Venkatramanaya				
	Kaje					
	Chikka Yeduyur Jodi village	Seetaramsastri, dead, Mortgagees Ramasastry, Dathatraya sastry &c	Village was lost when their house had fallen down. Original Sannad is not with them, but it is with Rayasastru at Mysore. They state that no mention of the right to the item of toddy is made in the Sannad.			
	Kuruba rahalli Jodi Village	Jois Mallappa dead, son Sitarambhatta and others				
Tipkur	Thimmarayanahalli	Venkatesabhatta son of Ramabhatta	States that from the beginning the item of Toddy has been allowed, but that			

		that the Sannad has been lost			
Hulsan dra	Thimmappa son of Bodda ramappa &c	State that the Grant is not forthcoming			
Benakuia kiré	Danappa and others	Sannad granted by His Highness the Maha Raja Krishna Raj Vadair under date the 9th Moon's increase of Sravana of the year Srimuka Sannad granted	6-6-8	6-6-8	6-6-8
Havena halli	Ramabhatta and others	by Dewan Purnaya under date the 8th Moon's increase of Phalguna of the year Durmati Sannad granted by His Highness the Maharaja Krishna raj Vadair, of 3d Moon's increase in the months of Sravana of the year Bhava			
Halkuri kè Sarvana nya	Sarvanu of Vyasaraya matt	Sannad granted by His Highness the Maharaja Krishna raj Vadair under date the 3d Moon's decrease of Sravana	21	21	21

of

			there is no Sannad it is doubtful whether this item is or is not allowed to the Inamdar. There are no toddy trees in this Village. The Sannad was not produced to say whether this item has been allowed or not to them.
6	6 8 6 6 8	200.	The statement of Inamdar.	It is stated that the item has been leased out and the Jodidars have been levying the amount. In the Sannad this item is not mentioned, tho' the same has been in the enjoyment of the Jodidars.
		40		It appears that there were no offers owing to the number of trees being small. no enjoyment nor any mention made in the Sannad.
	21	1200	The statement of the Agent Karjiracher.	Agent Karjiracher states that the item has been leased out to Contractors and Rupees 21/ per annum has been levied from them. In the Sannad

40

Gen. Serial No. 30.]

1894

From

The Excise Commissioner
in Mysore

To

The Chief Secretary to the
Dewan of Mysore.

SECTION Separate
FILE No. 35 of 1893-94
SERIAL No. 11-24 Dated 29th

Despatched 30th November 1894

Received

Enclosures No. (1 file)

General No. 2585
No. 254

In reply to Chief Secretary's docket
No. 6763 dated 30-10-1894.
R983

SUBJECT.

Forwards copy of communication from the Superintendent of Inam Settlements, together with its original enclosures, in regard to the Soddy revenue of Rangandahalli Sarvamanem village in the Kortagere Sub-Taluk

References (to be entered only in the Dewan's Office.)

Rev 1/12

5/12. Records sent back for investigation in accordance with Govt Orders No. 2074-83 dated 22/8/01.

D 7.III-9

Excise Commissioner's Office

No. 2585 (Cancelled & filed) / 254 Bangalore, dated 29th November 189 / To

From

The Excise Commissioner in Mysore



The Chief Secretary to the Dewan of Mysore.

Sir,

In reply to your docket No. 6763 / R.983, dated 30th October / 1st. November 1894, I have the honor to forward herewith, copy of letter No. 78, dated 27th / 28th instant, from the Superintendent of Inam Settlements in Mysore, together with its original enclosures, relating to the Toddy Revenue of Ranganahalli & Sarvamanium village in the Kortagere Sub-taluk. It will be seen that the Inam Superintendent states

states that it appears from the Inam Settlement Register that the Inam Settlement officer meant that the Inamdar was entitled only to the rent of the date trees ~~utilized~~ by Government Toddy Farmers for the manufacture of Toddy, but not to both the Excise Revenue (duty) from Toddy and the rent of the trees ~~by~~ utilized The Inam Superintendent thus concurs with me in the opinion which I have expressed in my letter No. 1369/140 dated 19th September 1894 to your address.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient Servant

S Michels

Excise Commissioner

in Mysore

Dr. S.

No 4/8 Inam Superintendents Office
(Enclo: &c 2) Bangalore, dated 27th/28th November/14

To
The Excise Commissioner
in Mysore.

En. 15 Sdh

Sir,

In returning the enclosures received with your letter &c 2207/453 of the 2nd instant, I have the honor to state that from the Inam Settlement Register of Ranganahalli Sarvanianem village in the Kortigere Sub-taluk, it appears that the Inam Settlement officer meant that the Inamdar was entitled only to the rent of the date trees utilized by Government Toddy Farmers for the manufacture of Toddy, but not to both the Excise Revenue (Duty) from Toddy and the rent of the trees so utilized.

2. I herewith forward copy of Inam Settlement Register of the said village as

as requested in the above letter

I have the honor &c

/Signed/ J. P. Grant.
Superintendent of Quarantine Settlements.

"Copy"

Mysore
Excise Commissioner's
in Mysore
2nd. S.